arXiv:1701.00945v1 [math.DS] 4 Jan 2017

QUANTITATIVE MULTIPLE MIXING

MICHAEL BJÖRKLUND, MANFRED EINSIEDLER, AND ALEXANDER GORODNIK

ABSTRACT. We develop in this paper a general and novel technique to establish quantitative estimates for higher order correlations of group actions. In particular, we prove that actions of semisimple Lie groups, as well as semisimple S-algebraic groups and semisimple adele groups, on homogeneous spaces are exponentially mixing of all orders. As a combinatorial application of our results, we prove for semisimple Lie groups an effective analogue of the Furstenberg-Katznelson-Weiss Theorem on finite configurations in small neighborhoods of lattices.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. General comments

The aim of this paper is to investigate the behavior of higher order correlations for group actions. Let us consider a measure-preserving action of a locally compact group G on a probability measure space (X, m). Given a test-function $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$, we obtain a family of functions on X defined by

$$g \cdot \phi : x \mapsto \phi(g^{-1} \cdot x), \quad g \in G,$$

We may think about $\{g \cdot \phi : g \in G\}$ as a collection of random variables on (X, m). For "sufficiently chaotic" group actions, it is natural to expect that these random variables are asymptotically independent. The independence property is measured by the correlations of the form

$$m((g_1 \cdot \phi) \cdots (g_k \cdot \phi)) = \int_X \phi(g_1^{-1} \cdot x) \cdots \phi(g_k^{-1} \cdot x)\, dm(x),$$

where $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$. We say that the G-action on (X, m) is *mixing of order* k if for every choice of functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$,

$$m((g_1 \cdot \phi_1) \cdots (g_k \cdot \phi_k)) \longrightarrow m(\phi_1) \cdots m(\phi_k)$$

whenever $g_i^{-1} g_j \to \infty$ in G for every $i \neq j$. It is a difficult problem in general to establish mixing of higher orders. For instance, It is not known whether for $\mathbb{Z}$-actions mixing of order two implies mixing of order three, and there are indeed examples of $\mathbb{Z}^2$-actions which are mixing of order two, but not mixing of order three (see [19]).

In this paper we develop a method which allows us to inductively obtain quantitative estimates on correlations of order k assuming only information about the correlations of order two. While we are mostly concerned with actions of semisimple Lie groups and semisimple algebraic groups, it will be apparent from the proofs that our method can potentially be applied in more general settings provided that there is a collection of one-parameter subgroups which satisfies certain regularity, growth, and mixing assumptions.

The multiple mixing property has been extensively studied for flows on homogeneous spaces of the form $X = \Gamma\backslash L$, where L is a connected Lie group, and Γ is a lattice in L. We shall consider the left action of L on X defined by

$$l \cdot x = xl^{-1} \quad \text{for } l \in L \text{ and } x \in X. \tag{1.1}$$

It follows from the work of Dani [7, 8] that under mild assumptions, any partially hyperbolic one-parameter flow on the space X satisfies the Kolmogorov property, so in particular it is mixing of all orders. It was conjectured by Sinai in [37] that the horocycle flow (which is not partially hyperbolic) is also mixing of all orders. Although mixing of order two for the horocycle flow is relatively easy to prove using representation-theoretic techniques (see [33]), Sinai's conjecture was proved in full generality only much later by Marcus in [26].

A strikingly general result about mutiple mixing was established by Mozes in [28]. He shows that for arbitrary measure-preserving actions of a connected Lie group G, mixing of order two implies mixing of all orders provided that the group G is Ad-proper (namely, it has finite centre, and its image under the adjoint map into the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ is closed). This, in particular, applies to connected semisimple Lie group with finite centre. Using Ratner's measure classification, Starkov in [38] proved mixing of all orders for general mixing one-parameter flows on finite-volume homogeneous spaces.

Although quantitative estimates for correlations of order two have a substantial history, it seems that very little is known regarding effective estimates of correlations of higher order. We intend to remedy this gap in the present paper. Note that the analysis of higher order correlations arises naturally in many combinatorial, arithmetic, and probabilistic problems. In Section 3, we apply our results to prove the existence of "approximate configurations" in lattices in semisimple Lie groups.

In the forthcoming works [1] and [2], we use our results in this paper to establish quantitative estimates on the number rational points in compactifications of certain homogeneous algebraic varieties, and limit theorems describing the fine statistical properties of subgroup actions on homogeneous spaces.

1.2. **Semisimple Lie groups**

Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite centre. We observe that given a measure-preserving action of G on a probability space (X, m), the correlations of order two can be interpreted as matrix coefficients of the corresponding unitary representation of G on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}^2(X)$. Starting with the research program of Harish-Chandra (summarized in the monographs [40, 41]), properties of matrix coefficients for representations of semisimple Lie groups have been extensively studied. In particular, we mention the important works of Borel and Wallach [4], Cowling [6], Howe [16], Li and Zhu [20, 21], Moore [27], and Oh [30, 31] which establish explicit estimates on matrix coefficients for semisimple groups.

Let us formulate the main estimate coming for these works. This estimate will be the starting point of our investigation. We fix a left-invariant Riemannian distance ρ_G on G which is bi-invariant under a fixed maximal compact subgroup K of G. Let $\pi : G \to \mathcal{U}(H)$ be a unitary representation of G. We say that π has *strong spectral gap* if the restriction of π to every noncompact simple factor of G is isolated from the trivial representation with respect to the Fell topology on the dual space. For every unitary representation (H, π) of G with strong spectral gap, there exist $C, \delta > 0$ such that for all K-finite vectors $v_1, v_2 \in H$,

$$\langle \pi(g)v_1, v_2\rangle \leqslant C\, e^{-\delta\, \rho_G(g,e)}\ \mathcal{N}(v_1)\mathcal{N}(v_2), \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(v) = (\dim \langle Kv\rangle)^{1/2}\|v\|$. It is important for applications to have an analogue of the estimate (1.2) which is valid for all smooth vectors in H. It was observed by Katok and Spatzier in [18] that under the strong spectral gap assumption, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large integers d and arbitrary smooth vectors $v_1, v_2 \in H$,

$$\langle \pi(g)v_1, v_2\rangle \ll_d\ e^{-\delta\, \rho_G(g,e)}\ \|\mathcal{C}_G^d v_1\|\|\mathcal{C}_G^d v_2\|, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_G$ denotes the Casimir differential operator for G.

Let us now assume that the group G is a closed subgroup of a (possibly bigger) connected Lie group L. Let Γ be a lattice subgroup in L and equip $X = \Gamma\backslash L$ with its Haar probability measure m. We consider the left action of G on X defined by (1.1). We say that this action has *strong spectral gap* if the corresponding unitary representation of G on $\mathcal{L}^2_0(X)$ has strong spectral gap. If this is the case, then the estimate (1.3) implies that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large d, for all functions $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(X)$, and for all $g \in G$, we have

$$|m((g \cdot \phi_1)\phi_2) - m(\phi_1)m(\phi_2)| \ll_d e^{-\delta\, \rho_G(g,e)}\ \|\mathcal{C}_G^d \phi_1\|_2\, \|\mathcal{C}_G^d \phi_2\|_2. \tag{1.4}$$

Our first main result provides analogous estimates on correlations of arbitrary orders. These estimates will be formulated in terms of certain Sobolev norms $\mathcal{S}_d$ on smooth functions on X. We shall postpone their definition to Section 2.2 below.

Theorem 1.1 (Exponential mixing of all orders for Lie groups)**.** *Let L be a connected Lie group, let Γ be a lattice in L, and let m denote the Haar probability measure on $X = \Gamma\backslash L$. Let G be a connected semisimple Lie subgroup of L with finite center, and assume that the action of G on X has strong spectral gap.*

Then, for every $k \geqslant 2$ and for sufficiently large d, there exists $\delta = \delta(k, d) > 0$ such that for all functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(X)$ and $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$, we have

$$|m((g_1 \cdot \phi_1) \cdots (g_k \cdot \phi_k)) - m(\phi_1) \cdots m(\phi_k)| \ll_{d,k} \mathfrak{M}(g_1, \dots, g_k)^{-\delta}\ \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_1) \cdots \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_k),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{M}(g_1, \dots, g_k) := \exp\left(\min_{i \neq j} \rho_G(g_i, g_j)\right).$$

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 should be compared with the recent work of Konstantoulas [24], in which estimates of the form

$$|m((a_1 \cdot \phi_1) \cdots (a_k \cdot \phi_k)) - m(\phi_1) \cdots m(\phi_k)| \leqslant \mathfrak{R}(a_1, \dots, a_k)\ C(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k), \tag{1.5}$$

with an explicit estimator $\mathfrak{R}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$, are established. Here, $a_1, \dots, a_k$ are assumed to belong to the same Cartan subgroup of G. Konstantoulas shows that this estimate holds for a L^2-dense subspace of functions, but it seems that the method of proof cannot explicate this subspace. In particular, the constant $C(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k)$ is not explicit.

We also note that the estimator $\mathfrak{R}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ in (1.5) is quite different from our estimator $\mathcal{M}$ restricted to the Cartan subgroup. Indeed, it may happen that the estimator $\mathfrak{R}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ does not tend to zero for some sequence such that $a_i^{-1} a_j \to \infty$ for all $i \neq j$. In particular, (1.5) does not imply mixing of order k along the Cartan subgroup.

We stress that the validity for general elements $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$ of our mixing estimate in Theorem 1.1 is crucial for the combinatorial application that we discuss in Section 1.3 below.

We note that the strong spectral gap assumption in Theorem 1.1 is known to hold in a number of cases. For instance, if some simple factor G_0 of G has rank at least two, G_0 acts ergodically on X, it follows from Kazhdan property (T) that the representation of G_0 on $\mathcal{L}^2_0(X)$ is isolated from the trivial representation. Another important case is when L is a connected semisimple Lie group with finite centre and no compact factors, and Γ is an irreducible lattice in L. The action of L on $X = \Gamma\backslash L$ has strong spectral gap (see [22, p. 285]), and given any closed connected semisimple subgroup G of L, the action of G on X also has strong spectral gap (see [29]).

It is not difficult to see that the correlations of order k can be interpreted as integrals against the probability measure $m_{\Delta_k(X)}$, supported on the diagonal $\Delta_k(X)$ in X^k, which is the push-forward of m under the diagonal map $X \to \Delta_k(X) \subset X^k$. We note that the measure $m_{\Delta_k(X)}$ is invariant under the action of the diagonal subgroup $\Delta_k(G)$ of G^k, and its projections to each of the factors of X^k equal m. More generally, we say that a probability measure ξ on X^k is a k-*coupling* of (X, m) if its marginals (the push-forwards of ξ onto the factors of X^k) are all equal to m.

We generalize Theorem 1.1 to general $\Delta_k(G)$-invariant k-couplings of (X, m) as follows.

Theorem 1.3 (Uniform exponential mixing of all orders for Lie groups)**.** *Let G, X, m be as in Theorem 1.1. Then, for every $k \geqslant 2$ and for all sufficiently large d, there exists $\delta = \delta(k, d) > 0$ such that for every $\Delta_k(G)$-invariant coupling ξ of (X, m), for all $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$, and $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$, we have*

$$|\xi((g_1 \cdot \phi_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (g_k \cdot \phi_k)) - m(\phi_1) \cdots m(\phi_k)| \ll_{d,k} \mathfrak{M}(g_{[k]})^{-\delta} \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_1) \cdots \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_k),$$

where $g_{[k]} = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$ and $\mathfrak{M}$ is as in Theorem 1.1. In particular, the above bound is uniform over all $\Delta_k(G)$-invariant k-couplings ξ of (X, m).

The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 will be given in Section 2.

1.3. **An application: Approximate configurations in lattices**

It was realized by Furstenberg in his proof of Szemeredi theorem [11] that the analysis of higher order correlations of dynamical systems can lead to deep combinatorial results. Subsequent developments of these ideas have allowed to prove a number of far-reaching theorems concerning the existence of finite configurations in "large" sets. For instance, we mention the works of Furstenberg, Katznelson and Weiss [12] and Ziegler [42] which show that for any given subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of positive upper density, for any given k-tuple $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^k$, for all sufficiently large dilations t and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a k-tuple $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k) \in \Omega^k$ and an isometry I of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$d(tv_i, I(\omega_i)) < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

In other words, the set Ω must contain an approximate isometric copy of any sufficiently dilated configuration. In particular, it follows from this result that given any lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, any k-tuple $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^k$, for all sufficiently large t and for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a k-tuple $(z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \Lambda^k$ and an isometry I of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$d(tv_i, I(z_i)) < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

It would be interesting to investigate whether an analogue of this statement holds for other locally compact groups and whether such a result can be made effective in terms of t. Here we address these questions for lattices in semisimple Lie groups.

To illustrate our general result, let us consider a Fuchsian group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ of finite covolume. For fixed $v_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2$, we consider a discrete subset Γv_0 of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$. How rich is the set of k-tuple $(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ with $z_i \in \Gamma v_0$? To make this question more precise, we define, for a k-tuple $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathbb{H}^2$, its *width* by

$$w(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \min_{i \neq j} d(v_i, v_j).$$

We show in Section 1.3 below that for every $k \geqslant 2$, there are constants $c_k, \varepsilon_k > 0$ with the property that if $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in (\mathbb{H}^2)^k$ satisfies

$$w(v_1, \dots, v_k) \geqslant c_k \log(1/\varepsilon) \tag{1.6}$$

for some $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_k$, then there is a k-tuple $(z_1, \dots, z_k) \in (\Gamma v_0)^k$ and an isometry $g \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$d(v_i, g\, z_i) < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Let us make this result more explicit in the case $k = 2$. We note that this essentially reduces to analyzing the distance set

$$\mathcal{D} := \{d(\gamma\, v_0, v_0) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

For example, when $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $v_o = i \in \mathbb{H}^2$, we have

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{\cosh^{-1} 2(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)/4 : \ ad - bc = 1,\ a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}.$$

To prove our result in this special case ($k = 2$), one needs to show that there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the intersection $\mathcal{D} \cap [c_2 \log(1/\varepsilon)), \infty)$ is ε-dense in $[c_2 \log(1/\varepsilon), \infty)$. We note that in this case, using the fact that set of distances is contained in $\cosh^{-1}(\mathbb{N})/4$, it is not hard to check that (1.6) cannot be replaced by a condition of the form $w(v_1, \dots, v_k) \geqslant \sigma(\varepsilon)$ with $\sigma(\varepsilon) = o(\log(1/\varepsilon))$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$.

Let us now formulate our application in its full generality. Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group G with finite centre and without compact factors, equipped with a left-invariant Riemannian distance ρ_G on G which is bi-invariant under a fixed maximal compact subgroup. For any irreducible lattice $\Gamma < G$, we prove in Section 3:

Corollary 1.4. *For every $k \geqslant 2$, there exist $c_k, \varepsilon_k > 0$ such that given any $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k$ satisfying*

$$w(g_1, \dots, g_k) := \min_{i \neq j} \rho_G(g_i, g_j) \geqslant c_k \log(1/\varepsilon)$$

for some $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_k$, there exist a k-tuple $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in \Gamma^k$ and $g \in G$ such that

$$\rho_G(g_i, g\, \gamma_i) < \varepsilon \qquad \textit{for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

1.4. **S-algebraic groups**

The results of Section 1.2 can be extended to actions of S-algebraic semisimple groups. Let $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ be a simply connected absolutely simple algebraic group defined over a number field F. We denote by $\mathcal{V}_F$ the set of places of F, and for $v \in \mathcal{V}_F$ we write F_v for the completion of F with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_v$. Let $G_v = \mathbb{G}(F_v)$. We fix a finite subset S of $\mathcal{V}_F$ and consider the group

$$G := \prod_{v \in S} G_v. \tag{1.7}$$

Let $S = S_\infty \sqcup S_f$ where S_∞ and S_f denote the subsets of the Archimedean and the non-Archimedean places respectively. We set

$$G_\infty := \prod_{v \in S_\infty} G_v \quad \text{and} \quad G_f := \prod_{v \in S_f} G_v,$$

so that $G = G_\infty \times G_f$.

Let us consider a measure-preserving action of G on a probability space (X, m). We then obtain a unitary representation of G on the space $\mathcal{L}^2(X)$. Given a compact open subgroup U of G_f, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)^U$ the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^2(X)$ consisting of those U-invariant vectors in $L^2(X, m)$ which are smooth with respect to the action of G_∞.

We say that the action of G on (X, m) has *strong spectral gap* if the representation of each noncompact factor G_ν with $\nu \in S$ on $\mathcal{L}^2_0(X)$ is isolated from the trivial representation. In this situation there are quantitative bounds on matrix coefficients of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)^U$ analogous to (1.2). In particular, we refer to the works of Borel, Wallach [4], Oh [31], Clozel, Oh, Ullmo [5], and Gorodnik, Maucourant, Oh [13] where such such bounds over non-Archimedean fields are discussed.

For every $\nu \in S$, let us fix a norm on $M_n(F_\nu)$ and define the height function on G by

$$H(g) := \prod_{\nu \in S} \|g_\nu\|_\nu \quad \text{for } g = (g_\nu)_{\nu \in S} \in G.$$

One can check that H is a proper function on G. With this notation, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large d, for every compact open subgroup U of G_f, for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)^U$, and $g \in G$,

$$|m((g \cdot \phi_1)\phi_2) - m(\phi_1)m(\phi_2)| \ll_{d,U} H(g)^{-\delta} \, \|\mathcal{C}^d_{G_\infty}\phi_1\|_2 \, \|\mathcal{C}^d_{G_\infty}\phi_2\|_2. \tag{1.8}$$

This estimate can be established as in the proof of [13, Theorem 3.27] from the bounds for representations of the local factors G_ν. In this paper, we prove an analogous estimate for correlations of higher order.

We consider a jointly continuous of G on a locally compact Hausdorff space X, which we assume is equipped with a G-invariant probability Borel measure m. Given a compact open subgroup $U < G_f$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(X)^U$ the sub-algebra of $\mathcal{C}_c(X)$ consisting of those U-invariant functions which are smooth with respect to the action of G_∞. We write $\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(X)$ for the union of these sub-algebras as U-ranges over all compact open subgroups of G_f. One readily checks that this is again a sub-algebra of $\mathcal{C}_c(X)$.

We assume that there is a family $(\mathcal{S}_d)$, with $d \in \mathbb{N}$, of norms on $\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(X)^U$ which satisfy the following properties:

N1. For all sufficiently large d, any compact open subgroup U of G_f, and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(X)^U$,

$$\|\phi\|_\infty \ll_{d,U} \mathcal{S}_d(\phi). \tag{1.9}$$

N2. For all sufficiently large d, any compact open subgroup U of G_f, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(X)^U$, and $g \in G_\nu$ with $\nu \in S_\infty$,

$$\|\phi - g \cdot \phi\|_\infty \ll_{d,U} \rho_{G_\nu}(g, e_{G_\nu}) \, \mathcal{S}_d(\phi), \tag{1.10}$$

where ρ_{G_ν} denotes a left-invariant Riemannian metric on G_ν.

N2′. For all sufficiently large d, any compact open subgroup U of G_f, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(X)^U$, and $g \in G_\nu$ with $\nu \in S_f$,

$$\|\phi - g \cdot \phi\|_\infty \ll_{d,U} \|\operatorname{Ad}(g) - \mathrm{id}\| \, \mathcal{S}_d(\phi), \tag{1.11}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm on $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Lie}(G_\nu))$ for a fixed choice of a norm on $\operatorname{Lie}(G_\nu)$.

N3. For all sufficiently large d, there exists $\sigma = \sigma(d) > 0$ such that for any compact open subgroup U of G_f, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(X)^U$, and $g \in G$,

$$\mathcal{S}_d(g \cdot \phi) \ll_{d,U} \|\operatorname{Ad}(g)\|^\sigma \, \mathcal{S}_d(\phi). \tag{1.12}$$

N4. There exists $r > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large d, any compact open subgroup U of G_f, and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^U$,

$$\mathcal{S}_d(\phi_1\phi_2) \ll_{d,U} \mathcal{S}_{d+r}(\phi_1)\,\mathcal{S}_{d+r}(\phi_2). \tag{1.13}$$

Such collections of norms can constructed on finite-volume homogeneous spaces of S-algebraic groups (see, for instance, [9] and [10, Appendix A]).

We can now formulate the following S-adic generalizations of Theorems 1.1 and 1.7. They will be proved in Section 4.

Theorem 1.5 (Exponential mixing of all orders for S-algebraic groups)**.** *Let G be an S-algebraic group as in* (1.7) *which acts continuously and in a measure-preserving fashion on a locally compact Hausdorff space X equipped with a Borel probability measure m.*

We assume that X is equipped with a family of norms $\mathcal{S}_d$ satisfying Properties N1–N4*, and that there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large d, for every compact open subgroup U of G_f, for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^U$, and $g \in G$, we have*

$$|m((g\cdot\phi_1)\phi_2) - m(\phi_1)m(\phi_2)| \ll_{d,U} H(g)^{-\delta_2}\,\mathcal{S}_d(\phi_1)\mathcal{S}_d(\phi_2).$$

Then, for every $k \geqslant 2$ and sufficiently large d, there exists $\delta = \delta(k,d,\delta_2) > 0$ such that for every compact open subgroup U of G_f, for all $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^U$, and $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$, we have

$$|m((g_1\cdot\phi_1)\cdots(g_k\cdot\phi_k)) - m(\phi_1)\cdots m(\phi_k)| \ll_{d,U,k} \mathfrak{H}(g_1,\dots,g_k)^{-\delta}\,\mathcal{S}_d(\phi_1)\cdots\mathcal{S}_d(\phi_k),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{H}(g_1,\dots,g_k) := \min_{i\neq j} H(g_i^{-1}g_j).$$

Theorem 1.6 (Uniform exponential mixing of all orders for S-algebraic groups)**.** *Let G, X, m be as in Theorem 1.5. Then, for every $k \geqslant 2$ and sufficiently large d, there exists $\delta = \delta(k,d,\delta_2) > 0$ such that for every compact open subgroup U of G_f, for every $\Delta_k(G)$-invariant coupling ξ of (X,m), for all $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^U$, and $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$, we have*

$$|\xi((g_1\cdot\phi_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes(g_k\cdot\phi_k)) - m(\phi_1)\cdots m(\phi_k)| \ll_{d,U,k} \mathfrak{H}(g_1,\dots,g_k)^{-\delta}\,\mathcal{S}_d(\phi_1)\cdots\mathcal{S}_d(\phi_k).$$

In particular, the above bound is uniform over all $\Delta_k(G)$-invariant k-couplings ξ of (X,m).

We stress that the uniformity in Theorem 1.6 will be crucial in our analysis of higher order correlations for actions of adele groups in the next section.

1.5. **Adele groups**

Let $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ be a simply connected absolute simple algebraic group defined over a number field F. Let $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ be the corresponding adele group and

$$X := \mathbb{G}(F)\backslash\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$$

equipped with the $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$-invariant Haar probability measure m. For each $v \in \mathcal{V}_F$, we fix a norm $\|\cdot\|_v$ on $M_n(F_v)$, which for almost all places v should be the max norm. The height function $H : \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$H(g) := \prod_{v\in\mathcal{V}_F} \|g\|_v, \quad \text{for } g = (g_v)_{v\in\mathcal{V}_F} \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F). \tag{1.14}$$

We note that H is a proper function on $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ (see, for instance, [13, Lemma 2.5]).

We denote by G_∞ the product of $\mathbb{G}(F_\nu)$ over the Archimedean places and by G_f the group of finite adeles. We also denote by U_∞ the product of $\mathbb{G}(F_\nu)$ over the Archimedean places ν for which $\mathbb{G}(F_\nu)$ is compact. Given a subgroup U of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^U$ the algebra of compactly supported functions on X which are smooth with respect to the action of G_∞ and are U-invariant. When W is a compact open subgroup of G_f, we introduce a family of Sobolev norms $\mathcal{S}_{d,W}$ on the algebras $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^W$ (see Section 5).

In Section 5 we prove the following generalization of [13, Theorem 3.27] for U_∞-invariant functions.

Theorem 1.7 (Exponential mixing of all orders for adele groups)**.** *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a simply connected absolutely simple linear algebraic group defined over a number field F. Let $X = \mathbb{G}(F)\backslash\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and denote by m Haar probability measure on X. We assume that $\mathbb{G}$ is isotopic over F_ν for some Archimedean place $\nu \in \mathcal{V}_F$.*

Then, for every $k \geqslant 2$ and sufficiently large d, there exists $\delta = \delta(k,d) > 0$ such that for every compact open subgroup W of G_f, for all U_∞-invariant functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^W$, and $s_1, \dots, s_k \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, we have

$$|m((s_1 \cdot \phi_1) \cdots (s_k \cdot \phi_k)) - m(\phi_1) \cdots m(\phi_k)| \ll_{d,W,k} \mathfrak{H}(s_1, \dots, s_k)^{-\delta}\, \mathcal{S}_{d,W}(\phi_1) \cdots \mathcal{S}_{d,W}(\phi_k),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{H}(s_1, \dots, s_k) := \min_{i \neq j} H(s_i^{-1} s_j).$$

Since H is a proper function on $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, Theorem 1.7 in particular implies that the action of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ on $X = \mathbb{G}(F)\backslash\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ is mixing of all orders. This was previously established in [14], but the method in [14] relies on the theory of unipotent flows and does not provide any explicit estimates. In [1], we apply Theorem 1.7 to establish effective counting estimate for the number of rational points on certain compactifications of varieties of the form $\mathbb{G}^k/\Delta_k(\mathbb{G})$.

It is quite likely that the assumption in Theorem 1.7 that $\mathbb{G}$ is isotopic over F_ν for some Archimedean $\nu \in \mathcal{V}_F$ can be removed. It is needed because our argument relies on the results from [9] which are only proved for real homogeneous spaces. Once a S-algebraic version of [9] has been established, Theorem 1.7 will follow for general $\mathbb{G}$ using the method developed in this paper.

1.6. **Organisation of the paper**

In Section 2, we discuss higher order correlations for semisimple Lie groups. In particular, we reformulate our main results in terms of the Wasserstein distance for couplings and prove the results from §1.2.

Next, we apply the established correlation estimates in Section 3 to deduce Corollary 1.4 regarding existence of approximate configurations in lattice subgroups.

In Section 4 we analyse higher order correlations for S-algebraic groups, and in Section 5 for adele groups. The proofs in Sections 2 and 4 rely on a general inductive estimate for couplings (Proposition 7.2) which is established in Section 7.

It will become apparent in Section 7 that our method can be applied more generally to study couplings which are invariant under a flow satisfying suitable regularity, growth, and mixing properties. In Section 6, we discuss basic properties of the Wasserstein distance which are used in the paper.

2. Higher-order correlations for semisimple Lie groups

2.1. **Preliminaries**

Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center. We fix a Cartan subgroup A of G. We denote by $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbb{R}_+^\times)$ the root system with respect to the adjoint action of the Cartan subgroup A on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. We then have the root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^0 + \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^0$ is the centraliser of the Lie algebra of A in $\mathfrak{g}$, and

$$\mathfrak{g}^\alpha := \big\{ Z \in \mathfrak{g} : \ \mathrm{Ad}(a)Z = \alpha(a)Z, \ \text{ for all } a \in A \big\}.$$

We fix a choice of the subset $\Sigma^+ \subset \Sigma$ of positive roots and denote by

$$A^+ := \big\{ a \in A : \ \alpha(a) \geqslant 1, \ \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Sigma^+ \big\}$$

the corresponding closed positive Weyl chamber in A. There exists a maximal compact subgroup K of G such that the *Cartan decomposition*

$$G = KA^+K \tag{2.2}$$

holds. It is a standard fact (see e.g. [15, Ch. 9]) that if $g = k_1 a_g k_2$ for $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $a_g \in A^+$, then the component a_g is unique. We call the component a_g the *Cartan projection* of g.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be an $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$, and denote by $\| \cdot \|$ the corresponding norm on $\mathfrak{g}$. Let ρ_G denote the left-invariant distance function on G induced by the Riemannian metric corresponding to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We note that ρ_G is bi-K-invariant.

Define a sub-multiplicative function $\| \cdot \|_{\mathrm{op}}$ on G by

$$\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} := \max \big\{ \| \mathrm{Ad}(g)Z\| \ : \ Z \in \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{with } \|Z\| = 1 \big\}. \tag{2.3}$$

We note that since G is semisimple, every transformation $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ satisfies $\det(\mathrm{Ad}(g)) = 1$, so that it has at least one eigenvalue whose absolute value is greater or equal to one. This implies that

$$\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} \geqslant 1 \quad \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

The following lemma summarizes the basic properties of the functions ρ_G and $\| \cdot \|_{\mathrm{op}}$ that will be used in the proofs of the main theorems.

Lemma 2.1. *(i) For every $g \in G$,*

$$\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} = \max_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \alpha(a_g),$$

where $a_g \in A^+$ denotes the Cartan projection of the element g.

(ii) For every $g \in G$, there exists $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(Z)$ is nilpotent, $\|Z\| = 1$, and

$$\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} = \| \mathrm{Ad}(g)Z\|.$$

(iii) There exist constants $c_1 \geqslant 1$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1^{-1} \log \|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} - c_2 \leqslant \rho_G(g, e_G) \leqslant c_1 \log \|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} + c_2$$

for all $g \in G$.

(iv) There is a constant $c_3 \geqslant 1$ such that for all every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(X)$ is nilpotent,

$$c_3^{-1} \ \max(1, \|X\|) \leqslant \| \exp(X)\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leqslant c_3 \ \max(1, \|X\|)^{\dim(G)}.$$

In the proof of (iv), we will use the following lemma. Since we shall later need a p-adic version of this lemma, we formulate it more generally.

Lemma 2.2. *Fix a norm on $M_n(\mathbb{K})$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is a locally compact normed field. Then there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for every nilpotent matrix $X \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$,*

$$\|\exp(X)\| \geqslant c_0 \|X\|.$$

Proof. We fix a norm on $\mathbb{K}^n$. Since all the norms on $M_n(\mathbb{K})$ are equivalent, we may, without loss of generality, assume that the norm in the lemma satisfies

$$\|Av\| \leqslant \|A\| \|v\| \quad \text{for every } A \in M_n(\mathbb{K}) \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{K}^n. \tag{2.4}$$

For a nilpotent matrix X, we set

$$c(X) := \max\{\|Xv\| : v \in \mathbb{K}^n \text{ such that } \|v\| = 1 \text{ and } X^2 v = 0\}.$$

Given any nilpotent $X \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $v \in \mathbb{K}^n$ such that $\|v\| = 1$ and $X^2 v = 0$, we have

$$\|\exp(X)\| \geqslant \|\exp(X)v\| = \|v + Xv\| \geqslant \|Xv\| - 1.$$

Hence,

$$\|\exp(X)\| \geqslant c(X) - 1.$$

We claim that

$$\inf\{c(X) : X \in M_n(\mathbb{K}) \text{ is nilpotent with } \|X\| = 1\} > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Suppose that (2.5) fails. Since the function c is continuous, the infimum is achieved, and there exists nilpotent $X \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$ with $\|X\| = 1$ such that $c(X) = 0$. It follows that if $X^2 v = 0$, for some $v \in \mathbb{K}^n$, then $Xv = 0$ as well, i.e., $\ker(X^2) = \ker(X)$. By the same token, we get $\ker(X^{\ell+1}) = \ker(X^\ell)$ for every $l \geqslant 1$. Since X is nilpotent, and thus $X^n = 0$, we conclude that we must have $X = 0$, but this contradicts our assumption that $\|X\| = 1$. This contradiction shows that (2.5) holds. Hence, there exists $c_0' > 0$ such that for every nilpotent X,

$$\|\exp(X)\| \geqslant c_0' \|X\| - 1.$$

In particular, $\|\exp(X)\| \geqslant c_0'/2 \|X\|$ whenever $\|X\| \geqslant 2/c_0'$.

On the other hand, it is clear that $\|\exp(X)\|^{-1}\|X\|$ is bounded from above for all nilpotent matrices X such that $\|X\| \leqslant 2/c_0'$. Combining these two bounds completes the proof. □

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Since the norm on $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant, it is clear that $\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} = \|a_g\|_{\mathrm{op}}$. We note that the root decomposition (2.1) is orthogonal. Decomposing an element $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to (2.1), we obtain that for $a \in A^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathrm{Ad}(a)Y\|^2 &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma \cup \{0\}} \alpha(a)^2 \|Y_\alpha\|^2 \leqslant \left(\max_{\alpha \in \Sigma \cup \{0\}} \alpha(a)^2 \right) \|Y\|^2 \\ &= \left(\max_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \alpha(a)^2 \right) \|Y\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, if we choose $\alpha_0 \in \Sigma^+$ such that $\alpha_0(a) = \max_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \alpha(a)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha_0}$, then the equality in (2.6) holds. Hence, we deduce that for every $g \in G$, there exists Y contained in single root space such that $\|Y\| = 1$ and

$$\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} = \|a_g\|_{\mathrm{op}} = \|\mathrm{Ad}(a_g)Y\| = \max_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \alpha(a_g) = \max_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \alpha(a_g). \tag{2.7}$$

This proves (i).

The claim (ii) is deduced from (2.7). Given $g = k_1 a k_2 \in KA^+K$, we have

$$\|g\|_{op} = \|\operatorname{Ad}(a)Y\| = \|\operatorname{Ad}(g)\operatorname{Ad}(k_2)^{-1}Y\|.$$

Since Y is contained in a single root space, the map $\operatorname{Ad}(Y)$ is nilpotent, and the map $\operatorname{Ad}(Z)$ with $Z = \operatorname{Ad}(k_2)^{-1}Y$ is also nilpotent. We also have $\|Z\| = \|Y\| = 1$. This implies (ii).

To prove (iii), we observe that since the metric ρ_G is left-invariant, and K is compact, there exists $c_2' > 0$ such that for every $g = k_1 a_g k_2 \in KA^+K$,

$$\rho_G(a_g, e_G) - c_2' \leqslant \rho_G(g, e_G) \leqslant \rho_G(a_g, e_G) + c_2'.$$

Since A is a compactly generated abelian group, and the map $X \mapsto \rho_G(\exp(X), e)$ is a proper sub-additive function on $\operatorname{Lie}(A)$, there exists $c_1' \geqslant 1$ such that for all $X \in \operatorname{Lie}(A)$,

$$(c_1')^{-1}\|X\| \leqslant \rho_G(\exp(X), e_G) \leqslant c_1'\|X\|.$$

We now observe that the map

$$X \mapsto \max_{\alpha\in\Sigma}(\log\circ\alpha\circ\exp)(X), \quad X \in \operatorname{Lie}(A),$$

defines a norm on $\operatorname{Lie}(A)$. Since all norms on $\operatorname{Lie}(A)$ are equivalent, it follows from (2.7) that there exists $c_1'' \geqslant 1$ such that for all $X \in \operatorname{Lie}(A)$,

$$(c_1'')^{-1}\|X\| \leqslant \log\|\exp(X)\|_{op} \leqslant c_1''\|X\|, \quad \text{for all } X \in \operatorname{Lie}(A).$$

Combining these estimates, we get (iii).

Now we proceed with the proof of (iv). We introduce the operator norm on $\operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\operatorname{ad}(X)$ is a nilpotent transformation, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Ad}(\exp(X))Z = \exp(\operatorname{ad}(X))Z = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim(G)} \frac{1}{i!}\operatorname{ad}(X)^i Z \tag{2.8}$$

for all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence, it follows that

$$\|\exp(X)\|_{op} \ll \max(1, \|\operatorname{ad}(X)\|)^{\dim(G)} \ll \max(1, \|X\|)^{\dim(G)}.$$

This proves one of the inequalities in (iv). To prove the other inequality, we use Lemma 2.2. to obtain

$$\|\exp(X)\|_{op} = \|\exp(\operatorname{ad}(X))\| \gg \|\operatorname{ad}(X)\|.$$

Also since $\exp(\operatorname{ad}(X))$ is unipotent, $\|\exp(\operatorname{Ad}(X))\| \geqslant 1$. Hence,

$$\|\exp(X)\|_{op} \gg \max(1, \|\operatorname{ad}(X)\|).$$

Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, the map $\operatorname{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an embedding, and

$$\|\operatorname{ad}(X)\| \gg \|X\| \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Thus, we deduce the other inequality in (iv). □

2.2. **Sobolev norms**

Let L be a connected Lie group and let Γ be a lattice in L. We consider the space $X = \Gamma\backslash L$ equipped with its Haar probability measure m, and a distance function induced by a left-invariant Riemannian distance ρ_L on L. Let $\mathcal{C}_c(X)$ denote the space of compactly supported continuous functions on X, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets, and let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denote the space of Borel probability measures on X, which we view as a subspace of the dual space of $\mathcal{C}_c(X)$. Even though L acts naturally on X from the right, we shall consistently write this action as a *left* action, i.e., if $x = \Gamma s$, we set

$$l \cdot x = \Gamma s l^{-1}, \quad \text{for } l \in L.$$

We note that L also acts on $\mathcal{C}_c(X)$ and on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by

$$(l \cdot \phi)(x) = \phi(l^{-1} \cdot x) \quad \text{and} \quad (l \cdot \nu)(\phi) = \nu(l^{-1} \cdot \phi),$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(X)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Finally, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ the space of infinitely differentiable compactly supported functions on X.

If $x = \Gamma s \in X$, we denote by $r(x)$ the *injectivity radius* at x, i.e. the smallest $r > 0$ such that the quotient map $L \to X$, restricted to a closed ball of ρ_L-radius r around s, is injective. If Γ is a co-compact lattice, this number stays uniformly away from zero. However, if Γ is not co-compact, then $r(x)$ tends to zero as x moves into the cusps of X.

Let $\mathfrak{l} = \mathrm{Lie}(L)$ denote the Lie algebra of L. We see that every $Y \in \mathfrak{l}$ gives rise to a first order differential operator $\mathcal{D}_Y$ on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{D}_Y \phi)(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\phi(x \exp(tY)) - \phi(x)}{t}, \qquad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X).$$

If we fix an ordered basis $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$, then every element in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{l})$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ can be written as (an ordered) linear combination of monomials in the basis elements of the form $Y_1^{m_1} \cdots Y_n^{m_n}$. Every such monomial W gives rise to a differential operator by composition, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}_W = \mathcal{D}_{Y_1}^{m_1} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{Y_n}^{m_n}. \tag{2.9}$$

The degree $\deg(\mathcal{D}_W)$ of $\mathcal{D}_W$ is defined as the sum $m_1 + \ldots + m_n$.

Following [9], we define, for an integer $d \geqslant 1$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$, the L^2-*Sobolev norm of* ϕ *of degree* d by

$$\mathcal{S}_d(\phi) := \left(\sum_{\deg(\mathcal{D}_W) \leqslant d} \int_X |r(x)^{-\kappa_d} (\mathcal{D}_W \phi)(x)|^2 \, dm(x) \right)^{1/2}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\kappa_d > 0$ are chosen appropriately, so that the following properties hold:

N1. For sufficiently large d and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$,

$$\|\phi\|_\infty \ll_d \mathcal{S}_d(\phi). \tag{2.11}$$

N2. For sufficiently large d, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$, and $g \in G$,

$$\|\phi - g \cdot \phi\|_\infty \ll_d \rho_G(g, e_G) \, \mathcal{S}_d(\phi). \tag{2.12}$$

N3. For sufficiently large d, there exists an exponent $\sigma = \sigma(d) > 0$, such that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ and $g \in G$,

$$\mathcal{S}_d(g \cdot \phi) \ll_d \|g\|_{op}^\sigma \mathcal{S}_d(\phi). \tag{2.13}$$

N4. There exists $r > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large d and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$,

$$\mathcal{S}_d(\phi_1\phi_2) \ll_d \mathcal{S}_{d+r}(\phi_1)\mathcal{S}_{d+r}(\phi_2). \tag{2.14}$$

The use of the term $r(x)^{-\kappa_d}$ in the definition (2.10) is convenient in order to have statements which are uniform on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$. If we restrict our attention to the sub-algebra of smooth functions with supports contained in a fixed compact subset of X, then the norm $\mathcal{S}_d$ is equivalent to the standard L^2-Sobolev norms of degree d.

2.3. **Mixing of higher orders and Wasserstein distances on couplings**

Let us now reformulate Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 in a way that better aligns with the point of view taken in the paper. We recall that $X = \Gamma\backslash L$ and that m denotes the unique L-invariant probability measure on X.

Given an integer $k \geqslant 2$, we write $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$, and given a subset $I \subset [k]$, we let G_I, X_I and m_I denote the direct product of G, X and m respectively, over the indices in I. We also write

$$\Delta_I(G) = \{(g, \dots, g) : g \in G\} \subset G_I \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_I(X) = \{(x, \dots, x) : x \in X\} \subset X_I,$$

and we denote by $m_{\Delta_I(G)}$ the probability measure on X_I, which is the image of m under the diagonal embedding of X into X_I. With this notation, we note that

$$m((g_1 \cdot \phi_1) \cdots (g_k \cdot \phi_k)) = m_{\Delta_{[k]}(X)}((g_1 \cdot \phi_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (g_k \cdot \phi_k)),$$

for all $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$ and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$.

Given $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\} \subset [k]$, we let $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)_I$ denote the algebraic tensor product of the algebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ over the indices in I, that is to say, the subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}_c(X_I)$ which is spanned by all finite sums of the form

$$\sum_j \phi_{i_1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{i_l,j},$$

where $\phi_{i_s,j} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$, $s = 1, \dots, l$. Given an integer d, we define the *projective tensor product* (or *maximal cross-norm*) $S_{d,I}$ of the Sobolev norm $\mathcal{S}_d$ of an element $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)_I$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_{d,I}(\phi) := \inf\Big\{ \sum_j \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_{i_1,j}) \cdots \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_{i_l,j}) \Big\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible ways to write ϕ as a finite sum of the form

$$\phi = \sum_j \phi_{i_1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{i_l,j}, \qquad \text{with } \phi_{i_1 j}, \dots, \phi_{i_l j} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X).$$

We can readily extend the action $G_I \curvearrowright X_I$ to $\mathcal{C}_c(X_I)$ and to $\mathcal{P}(X_I)$, by

$$(g_I \cdot \phi)(x_I) = \phi(g_I^{-1} \cdot x_I) \quad \text{and} \quad (g_I \cdot \nu)(\phi) = \nu(g_I^{-1} \cdot \phi),$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(X_I)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X_I)$ and $g_I \in G_I$. We note that the extended G_I-action on $\mathcal{C}_c(X_I)$ preserves the subspace $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)_I$. Finally, if $g_{[k]} \in G_{[k]}$, we write

$$g_I = (g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_s}) \in G_I,$$

and if η is a measure on $X^{[k]}$, we denote by η_I the projection of η onto X_I. In particular, to say that η is a k-coupling of (X, m) means exactly that $\eta_{\{i\}} = m$ for every $i \in [k]$. Finally, note that for all $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c(X)$ and $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$, we have

$$m(\phi_1) \cdots m(\phi_k) = m_{[k]}(\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_k),$$

and

$$\eta((g_1 \cdot \phi_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (g_k \cdot \phi_k)) = ((g_1, \dots, g_k)^{-1} \cdot \eta)(\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_k),$$

Theorem 1.3, which we wish to prove, can now be equivalently stated as:

Theorem 2.3. *For all $k \geqslant 2$ and for all sufficiently large d, there exists $\delta = \delta(k, d) > 0$ such that for all $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-invariant couplings ξ of (X, m) and $g_{[k]} = (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G_{[k]}$, we have*

$$\sup\left\{\left|(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi)(\phi) - m_{[k]}(\phi)\right| \,:\, \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)_{[k]} \ \textit{with}\ \mathcal{S}_{d,[k]}(\phi) \leqslant 1\right\} \ll_{d,k} \mathfrak{M}(g_{[k]})^{-\delta}. \quad (2.15)$$

This way of rewriting Theorem 1.3 motivates the following definition. If Y is a locally compact metrizable space, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_c(Y)$ is a fixed linear subspace, and M is a norm on $\mathcal{A}$, then the *Wasserstein distance* $\mathrm{dist}_M(\mu, \nu)$ between $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{dist}_M(\mu, \nu) := \sup\left\{|\mu(\phi) - \nu(\phi)| \,:\, \phi \in \mathcal{A} \ \text{ with } M(\phi) \leqslant 1\right\}. \quad (2.16)$$

We stress that this is always a semi-distance (semi-metric), but only a metric if $\mathcal{A}$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}_c(Y)$, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. We shall discuss some basic properties of this semi-distance in more details in Section 6.

Let us now specialize this to the case

$$Y = X_{[k]} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)_{[k]} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \mathcal{S}_{d,[k]}.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is clearly dense in $\mathcal{C}_c(X)_{[k]}$ we see that $\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]} := \mathrm{dist}_{\mathcal{S}_{d,[k]}}$ is indeed a metric on the space $\mathcal{P}(X_{[k]})$. We can now further rewrite (2.15) (the assertion of Theorem 1.3), and thus reformulating Theorem 1.3 in terms of estimates on the Wasserstein distance $\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}$, as follows:

Theorem 2.4. *For all $k \geqslant 2$ and for all sufficiently large d, there exists $\delta = \delta(k, d) > 0$ such that for every $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-invariant coupling ξ of (X, m) and $g_{[k]} = (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G_{[k]}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, m_{[k]}) \ll_{d,k} \mathfrak{M}(g_{[k]})^{-\delta}.$$

Let us now we state our main technical result — Theorem 2.5. We show in the next subsection, how to deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 from it. We recall that G is a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center, and we are assuming that G is a closed subgroup of L, and its action on a finite volume homogeneous space $X = \Gamma\backslash L$ has strong spectral gap.

Then by [18, Cor. 3.2], there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large integers d, for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$, and $g \in G$,

$$|m((g \cdot \phi_1)\phi_2) - m(\phi_1)m(\phi_2)| \ll_d \|g\|_{\mathrm{op}}^{-\delta_2} \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_1)\, \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_2), \quad (2.17)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{op}}$ is defined as in (2.3). While this estimate in [18] is stated in terms of the left-invariant Riemanian distance ρ_G, it follows from Lemma 2.1(iii) that we also have the estimate of the form (2.17).

The following theorem inductively upgrades (2.17) to general k-couplings of (X, m) which are $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-invariant.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $g_{[k]} = (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G_{[k]}$ and ξ be a $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-invariant k-coupling of (X, m). Suppose that there exist $F \geqslant 1$, $\tau > 0$ and an integer d such that*

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d,I}(g_I^{-1} \cdot \xi_I, m_I) \leqslant F\, \mathfrak{N}(g_{[k]})^{-\tau}, \quad \text{for all } I \subsetneq [k]. \tag{2.18}$$

Then there exists $\gamma_k > 0$, which depends only on k, d and δ_2, such that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d+r,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, m_{[k]}) \ll_{d,k} \sqrt{F}\, \mathfrak{N}(g_{[k]})^{-\gamma_k \min(1,\tau)}, \tag{2.19}$$

where r is as (2.14)*, and*

$$\mathfrak{N}(g_{[k]}) := \min_{i \neq j} \|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{op}.$$

We emphasise that the implied constant in (2.19) does *not* depend on τ and ξ, nor on the k-tuple $g_{[k]}$. In particular, if the bound (2.18) is uniform over all $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-invariant k-couplings of (X, m), then so is the bound (2.19).

2.4. **Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 (assuming Theorem 2.5)**

As we already noted Theorem 1.1 is a particular case of Theorem 1.3 with $\xi = m_{\Delta_{[k]}(X)}$, so we focus here on the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Let us fix $k \geqslant 2$ and a k-tuple $g_{[k]} = (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G_{[k]}$, and set

$$q := \min_{i \neq j} \|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{op}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\rho_G(g_i, g_j) = \rho_G(e_G, g_i^{-1} g_j) \leqslant c_1 \log \|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{op} + c_2,$$

and thus

$$q \geqslant e^{-c_2/c_1}\, \mathfrak{M}(g_{[k]})^{1/c_1}. \tag{2.20}$$

Let ξ be a $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-invariant k-coupling of (X, m), and note that the estimate (2.18) is completely trivial for $k = 1$. We can now begin to argue by induction. Suppose that we have shown (2.18) for all $I \subsetneq [k]$, that is to say, we have produced $d, \tau > 0$ and $F \geqslant 1$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d,I}(g_I^{-1} \cdot \xi_I, m_I) \leqslant F\, q^{-\tau},$$

for all $I \subsetneq [k]$. Theorem 2.5 now provides $\gamma_k > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d+r,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, m_{[k]}) \ll_{d,k} \sqrt{F}\, q^{-\gamma_k \min(1,\tau)},$$

where the implicit constants are independent of ξ, and thus, by (2.20),

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d+r,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, m_{[k]}) \ll_{d,k} \sqrt{F}\, \mathfrak{M}(g_{[k]})^{-\gamma_k \min(1,\tau)/c_1}.$$

Upon unwrapping the definition of $\operatorname{dist}_{d+r,[k]}$, and observing that the implicit constants are independent of $g_{[k]} \in G_{[k]}$, we see that this exactly means that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\xi((g_1 \cdot \phi_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (g_k \cdot \phi_k)) - m(\phi_1) \cdots m(\phi_k)| \\ &\ll_{d,k} \mathfrak{M}(g_{[k]})^{-\gamma_k \min(1,\tau)/c_1}\, \mathcal{S}_{d+r}(\phi_1) \cdots \mathcal{S}_{d+r}(\phi_k), \end{aligned}$$

for all $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$ and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2.5. **A reduction of the proof of Theorem 2.5**

We retain the notation from Subsection 2.1. Let us fix $g_{[k]} = (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G_{[k]}$ and a $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-invariant k-coupling ξ of (X, m). Throughout this subsection, we set $\eta = g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi$, and note that η is again a k-coupling of (X, m), but this time invariant under the subgroup

$$g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{[k]}(G) \cdot g_{[k]} \subset G_{[k]}.$$

Define

$$Q := \max_{i \neq j} \|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{op} \quad \text{and} \quad q := \mathfrak{N}(g_{[k]}) = \min_{i \neq j} \|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{op}.$$

We note that $Q \geqslant q \geqslant 1$.

Let us fix indices $i_1 \neq i_s \in [k]$ such that $Q = \|g_{i_1}^{-1} g_{i_s}\|_{op}$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $\|Z\| = 1$ such that $\mathrm{ad}(Z)$ is a nilpotent endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, and

$$Q = \|g_{i_1}^{-1} g_{i_s}\|_{op} = \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_{i_1}^{-1} g_{i_s}) Z\|.$$

Then for all $i, j \in [k]$, we have

$$\|\operatorname{Ad}(g_i^{-1} g_j) Z\| \leqslant \|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{op} \leqslant \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_{i_1}^{-1} g_{i_s}) Z\|.$$

We can label the indices in $[k]$ so that

$$\|\operatorname{Ad}(g_{i_1}^{-1} g_{i_s}) Z\| \geqslant \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_{i_2}^{-1} g_{i_s}) Z\| \geqslant \dots \geqslant \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_{i_k}^{-1} g_{i_s}) Z\|.$$

Then, in particular, $\|\operatorname{Ad}(g_{i_k}^{-1} g_{i_s}) Z\| \leqslant \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_{i_s}^{-1} g_{i_s}) Z\| = 1$. Changing the indexation, we may assume that

$$\|\operatorname{Ad}(g_1^{-1} g_s) Z\| \geqslant \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_2^{-1} g_s) Z\| \geqslant \dots \geqslant \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_k^{-1} g_s) Z\|.$$

Let

$$Z_j = \frac{\operatorname{Ad}(g_j^{-1} g_s) Z}{\|\operatorname{Ad}(g_1^{-1} g_s) Z\|} \quad \text{and} \quad w_j = \|Z_j\|, \qquad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Then

$$1 = w_1 \geqslant w_2 \geqslant \dots \geqslant w_k \quad \text{and} \quad w_k \leqslant Q^{-1} \leqslant q^{-1}. \tag{2.21}$$

Let us fix an index $1 \leqslant p \leqslant k-1$, and write $[k] = I \sqcup J$, where

$$I = [1, p] \quad \text{and} \quad J = [p+1, k].$$

For every $j \in [k]$, we define the flow $h_j : \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$ by

$$h_j(t) \cdot x = \exp(t Z_j) \cdot x, \quad \text{for } x \in X. \tag{2.22}$$

We also define the flows $h_I : \mathbb{R} \times X_I \to X_I$ and $h_J : \mathbb{R} \times X_J \to X_J$ by

$$h_I(t) \cdot x_I = (h_1(t) \cdot x_1, \dots, h_p(t) \cdot x_p) \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$h_J(t) \cdot x_J = (h_{p+1}(t) \cdot x_{p+1}, \dots, h_k(t) \cdot x_k), \tag{2.24}$$

as well as the joint flow $h : \mathbb{R} \times X_{[k]} \to X_{[k]}$ by

$$h(t) \cdot (x_I, x_J) = (h_I(t) \cdot x_I, h_J(t) \cdot x_J).$$

Since

$$(Z_1, \dots, Z_k) \in \operatorname{Lie}\left(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{[k]}(G) \cdot g_{[k]}\right) = \operatorname{Ad}(g_{[k]})^{-1} \left(\operatorname{Lie}(\Delta_{[k]}(G))\right),$$

it follows that η is an h-invariant k-coupling of (X, m). Similarly, its marginals η_I and η_J on the spaces X_I and X_J are invariant under the flows h_I and h_J respectively.

Let us fix a large integer d so that the Sobolev norms $N := \mathcal{S}_d$ on $\mathcal{A} = C_c^\infty(X)$ satisfy (cf. (2.11), (2.12), and (2.13))

$$\|\phi\|_\infty \ll_d N(\phi), \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\|\phi - g \cdot \phi\|_\infty \ll_d \rho_G(g, e)\, N(\phi) \quad \text{for all } g \in G, \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$N(g \cdot \phi) \ll_d \|g\|_{op}^\sigma\, N(\phi), \tag{2.27}$$

for all $g \in G$ and some $\sigma = \sigma(d) > 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_I$ and $\mathcal{A}_J$ the algebraic tensor product of the sub-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over the indices in I and J respectively, and we let N_I and N_J denote the norms on $\mathcal{A}_I$ and $\mathcal{A}_J$ respectively which are projective tensor products of N.

Let us now list three important properties of the flows h_I and h_J that will be crucial in our analysis. In all three lemmas, the index p (and hence the partition $[k] = I \sqcup J$) will be fixed. We shall reduce the proof of Theorem 2.5 to a general inequality for couplings which are invariant under a suitable flow. This inequality (which is valid in a more general context as well) will be established in Section 7 below.

Lemma 2.6. *There exist $A \geqslant 1$ and $a > 0$, depending only on d and k, such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi_I \in \mathcal{A}_I$,*

$$N_I(h_I(t) \cdot \phi_I) \leqslant A \max(1, |t|)^a\, N_I(\phi_I).$$

Proof. Pick $\phi_I \in \mathcal{A}_I$ and write it as a finite sum of the form

$$\phi_I = \sum_i \phi_{1,i} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{p,i},$$

for some $\phi_{j,i} \in \mathcal{A}$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$h_I(t) \cdot \phi_I = \sum_i (h_1(t) \cdot \phi_{1,i}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (h_p(t) \cdot \phi_{p,i}),$$

and thus

$$N_I(h_I(t) \cdot \phi_I) \leqslant \sum_i N(h_1(t) \cdot \phi_{1,i}) \cdots N(h_p(t) \cdot \phi_{p,i}).$$

By (2.27) and Lemma 2.1(iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(h_j(t) \cdot \phi_{j,i}) &\ll_d \|h_j(t)\|_{op}^\sigma\, N(\phi_{j,i}) \ll \max(1, \|tZ_j\|)^{\sigma \dim(G)}\, N(\phi_{j,i}) \\ &= \max(1, w_j|t|)^{\sigma \dim(G)}\, N(\phi_{j,i}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $w_j \leqslant 1$ for all j,

$$\begin{aligned} N_I(h_I(t) \cdot \phi_I) &\ll_{d,p} \sum_i \Big(\prod_{j=1}^p \max(1, w_j|t|)^{\sigma \dim(G)} \Big) N(\phi_{1,i}) \cdots N(\phi_{p,i}) \\ &\leqslant \max(1, |t|)^{k\sigma \dim(G)} \sum_i N(\phi_{1,i}) \cdots N(\phi_{p,i}), \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$N_I(h_I(t) \cdot \phi_I) \ll_{d,k} \max(1, |t|)^a\, N_I(\phi_I)$$

with $a = k\sigma \dim(G)$, which finishes the proof. □

Lemma 2.7. *There exists* $B \geqslant 1$, *depending only on* d *and* k, *such that for all* $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\phi_I \in \mathcal{A}_I$,

$$|m_I((h_I(t)\cdot\phi_I)\phi_I) - m_I(\phi_I)^2| \leqslant B\max(1, w_p|t|)^{-\delta_2} N_I(\phi_I)^2,$$

where δ_2 *is as in* (2.17).

Proof. Pick $\phi_I \in \mathcal{A}_I$ and write it as a finite sum of the form

$$\phi_I = \sum_i \phi_{1,i} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{p,i},$$

for some $\phi_{s\,i} \in \mathcal{A}$. For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$m_I((h_I(t)\cdot\phi_I)\phi_I) = \sum_{i,j} m((h_1(t)\cdot\phi_{1,i})\phi_{1,j})\cdots m((h_p(t)\cdot\phi_{p,i})\phi_{p,j}),$$

and

$$m_I(\phi_I)^2 = \sum_{i,j} m(\phi_{1,i})m(\phi_{1,j})\cdots m(\phi_{p,i})m(\phi_{p,j}).$$

The difference $m_I((h_I(t)\cdot\phi_I)\phi_I) - m_I(\phi_I)^2$ can be written as a finite sum of terms of the form

$$m((h_1(t)\cdot\phi_{1,i})\phi_{1,j})\cdots m((h_p(t)\cdot\phi_{p,i})\phi_{p,j}) - m(\phi_{1,i})m(\phi_{1,j})\cdots m(\phi_{p,i})m(\phi_{p,j}).$$

Each such term can be written as a sum of p terms of the form

$$(m((h_l(t)\cdot\phi_{l,i})\phi_{l,j}) - m(\phi_{l,i})m(\phi_{l,j}))T_{lij}, \tag{2.28}$$

where

$$|T_{lij}| \leqslant \prod_{s\neq l} \|\phi_{s,i}\|_\infty \|\phi_{s,j}\|_\infty.$$

By (2.17), we have for some $\delta_2 > 0$,

$$|m((h_l(t)\cdot\phi_{l,i})\phi_{l,j}) - m(\phi_{l,i})m(\phi_{l,j})| \ll_d \|\exp(tZ_l)\|_{op}^{-\delta_2} N(\phi_{l,i})N(\phi_{l,j}),$$

for all indices l, i, j and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We recall that Z, and thus Z_l (being the image of Z under an adjoint operator), is ad-nilpotent. Hence, the assertion (iv) in Lemma 2.1 shows that

$$\|\exp(tZ_l)\|_{op} \gg \max(1, |t|\,\|Z_l\|) = \max(1, |t|w_l).$$

We recall that $w_p \leqslant w_l$ for all $l \in I$, so that

$$|m((h_l(t)\cdot\phi_{l\,i})\phi_{l\,j}) - m(\phi_{l\,i})m(\phi_{l\,j})| \ll_d \max(1, w_p|t|)^{-\delta_2} N(\phi_{l\,i})N(\phi_{l\,j}).$$

In view of (2.25), we conclude that the absolute value of each term of the form (2.28) satisfies

$$\ll_d \max(1, w_p|t|)^{-\delta_2} \prod_{l=1}^{p} N(\phi_{l,i})N(\phi_{l,j}),$$

uniformly over all i, j. Hence,

$$\left|m_I((h_I(t)\cdot\phi_I)\phi_I) - m_I(\phi_I)^2\right| \ll_{d,k} \max(1, w_p|t|)^{-\delta_2} \left(\sum_i \prod_{l=1}^{p} N(\phi_{l,i})\right)^2,$$

and

$$\left|m_I((h_I(t)\cdot\phi_I)\phi_I) - m_I(\phi_I)^2\right| \ll_{d,k} \max(1, w_p|t|)^{-\delta_2} N_I(\phi_I)^2.$$

□

Lemma 2.8. *There exists* $C \geqslant 1$, *depending only on* d *and* k, *such that for all* $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\phi_J \in \mathcal{A}_J$,

$$\|h_J(t)\cdot\phi_J - \phi_J\|_\infty \leqslant C\, w_{p+1}|t|\, N_J(\phi_J).$$

Proof. Pick $\phi_J \in \mathcal{A}_J$ and write it as a finite sum of the form

$$\phi_J = \sum_j \phi_{p+1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{k,j},$$

for some $\phi_{l,j} \in \mathcal{A}$. We can write each term in the difference $h_J(t) \cdot \phi_J - \phi_J$ as a telescoping sum of the $k - p$ terms of the form

$$\phi_{p+1\,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{l-1,j} \otimes (h_l(t) \cdot \phi_{l,j} - \phi_{l,j}) \otimes h_{l+1}(t) \cdot \phi_{l+1,j} \otimes \cdots h_k(t) \cdot \phi_{k\,j}.$$

Hence,

$$\|h_J(t) \cdot \phi_J - \phi_J\|_\infty \leqslant \sum_j \sum_{l=p+1}^{k} \|h_l(t) \cdot \phi_{l,j} - \phi_{l,j}\|_\infty \prod_{i \neq l} \|\phi_{i,j}\|_\infty$$

Using (2.25) and (2.26), we see that uniformly in l, j,

$$\|h_l(t) \cdot \phi_{l,j} - \phi_{l,j}\|_\infty \prod_{i \neq l} \|\phi_{i,j}\|_\infty \ll_d \rho_G(\exp(tZ_l), e_G) \prod_{i=p+1}^{k} N(\phi_{i,j}),$$

Since

$$\rho_G(\exp(tZ_l), e_G) \ll \|tZ_l\| = |t| w_l \leqslant |t| w_{p+1}, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } l \in J,$$

we conclude that

$$\|h_J(t) \cdot \phi_J - \phi_J\|_\infty \ll_{d,k} |t| w_{p+1} \sum_j N(\phi_{p+1,j}) \cdots N(\phi_{k,j}),$$

which implies the lemma. □

2.6. **Finishing the proof of Theorem 2.5 (assuming Proposition 2.9)**

Let us briefly recall the setting of Theorem 2.5. We have fixed a $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-invariant k-coupling ξ of (X, m), and $g_{[k]} = (g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in G_{[k]}$, and defined $\eta = g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi$. The latter measure is invariant under the flow h above, which is defined using elements $Z_j \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(Z_j)$ is nilpotent, and whose norms $w_j = \|Z_j\|$ satisfy

$$1 = w_1 \geqslant w_2 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w_k \quad \text{and} \quad w_k \leqslant q^{-1},$$

where $q = \min_{i \neq j} \|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{op}$. Since $\|g\|_{op} \geqslant 1$ for all $g \in G$, we have $q \geqslant 1$.

Let d and r be integers such that the Sobolev norms $M := \mathcal{S}_d$ and $N := \mathcal{S}_{d+r}$ on $\mathcal{A} = C_c^\infty(X)$ satisfy (see (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), and (2.14))

$$\|\phi\|_\infty \ll_d M(\phi) \ll_{d,r} N(\phi), \tag{2.29}$$

and

$$\|\phi - g \cdot \phi\|_\infty \ll_{d,r} \rho_G(g, e)\, N(\phi) \quad \text{for all } g \in G, \tag{2.30}$$

and, for some $\sigma = \sigma(d) > 0$,

$$N(g \cdot \phi) \ll_{d,r} \|g\|_{op}^\sigma N(\phi), \tag{2.31}$$

for all $g \in G$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$. Finally, we want

$$M(\phi_1 \cdot \phi_2) \ll_{d,r} N(\phi_1) N(\phi_2) \tag{2.32}$$

for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$

We have shown in Lemmas 2.6, 2.7, and 2.8 above that given a non-trivial partition

$$[k] = I \sqcup J \quad \text{with } I = [1, p] \text{ and } J = [p+1, k],$$

there are constants A, B, C and $a, b > 0$ (which are independent of p), such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $\phi_I \in \mathcal{A}_I$ and $\phi_J \in \mathcal{A}_J$,

$$N_I(h_I(t) \cdot \phi_I) \leqslant A \max(1, |t|)^a N(\phi_I), \tag{2.33}$$

and

$$|m_I((h_I(t) \cdot \phi_I)\phi_I) - m_I(\phi_I)^2| \leqslant B \max(1, w_p|t|)^{-b} N_I(\phi_I)^2, \tag{2.34}$$

and

$$\|h_J(t) \cdot \phi_J - \phi_J\|_\infty \leqslant C w_{p+1}|t| N_J(\phi_J). \tag{2.35}$$

Assuming the bounds (2.33)–(2.35), we establish the following useful inequality. A general form of this inequality will be proved in Section 7 (see Proposition 7.2 below).

Proposition 2.9. *For every fixed* $1 \leqslant p < k$ *and* $T \in [w_p^{-1}, w_{p+1}^{-1}]$, *we have*

$$\operatorname{dist}_{N_{[k]}}(\eta, m_{[k]}) \ll_{d,r,k} \max((\mathcal{M}T^a)^{1/2}, (w_p T)^{-b/2}, w_{p+1}T), \tag{2.36}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M} := \max(\operatorname{dist}_{M_I}(\eta_I, m_I), \operatorname{dist}_{M_J}(\eta_J, m_J)).$$

2.7. **Proof of Theorem 2.5 assuming Proposition 2.9**

In order to finish the proof of Theorem 2.5, we need to first solve the following problem. Given $q \geqslant 1$, we wish to minimize (over p and T for which $T \in [w_p^{-1}, w_{p+1}^{-1}]$) the expression

$$\max((\mathcal{M}T^a)^{1/2}, (w_p T)^{-b/2}, w_{p+1}T) \leqslant \sqrt{F}\max(q^{-\tau/2}T^{a/2}, (w_p T)^{-b/2}, w_{p+1}T), \tag{2.37}$$

where $w_1, \dots, w_k$ is a fixed sequence which satisfies

$$1 = w_1 \geqslant w_2 \geqslant \dots \geqslant w_k \quad \text{and} \quad w_k \leqslant q^{-1}.$$

We stress that we do not want the attained bound to depend on the particular choice of this sequence.

To solve this problem, let us choose

$$\delta = \gamma_0 \min(1, \tau), \quad \text{where } \gamma_0 = \min(1/k, 1/(2ak)),$$

and note that

$$k\delta \leqslant 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2ak\delta \leqslant \tau.$$

In particular, all of the k points $q^{-\delta i}$, $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$, lie between w_k and w_1. Hence, by the Pigeonhole Principle, there will be at least two consecutive points $q^{-\delta(i+1)}$ and $q^{-\delta i}$, for some index $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-2$, which together will end up in one of the $(k-1)$ intervals

$$[w_k, w_{k-1}), \dots, [w_3, w_2), [w_2, w_1].$$

Hence, for some $p = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $i = 0, \dots, k-2$,

$$w_{p+1} \leqslant q^{-(i+1)\delta} \leqslant q^{-i\delta} \leqslant w_p \tag{2.38}$$

For this particular i, we set $T = q^{(i+1/2)\delta}$, which clearly satisfies

$$w_p T = w_p q^{(i+1/2)\delta} \geqslant q^{-i\delta} q^{(i+1/2)\delta} = q^{\delta/2} \geqslant 1,$$

and

$$w_{p+1} T \leqslant q^{-(i+1)\delta} q^{(i+1/2)\delta} = q^{-\delta/2} \leqslant 1.$$

Using (2.38), we deduce that that the expression (2.37) is bounded from above by

$$\sqrt{F}\max(q^{-(\tau - a(i+1/2)\delta)/2}, q^{-b\delta/4}, q^{-\delta/2}).$$

Since

$$\tau - a(i+1/2)\delta \geqslant \tau - ak\delta \geqslant \tau/2,$$

by our choice of δ, we conclude that (2.37) is bounded from above by $q^{-\sigma}$, where

$$\sigma = \min(\tau/2, b\delta/4, \delta/2) \geqslant \gamma_k \min(1, \tau),$$

where $\gamma_k > 0$ depends only on k, a, and b. In fact, $\gamma_k \gg 1/k$.

Going back to (2.37), we have shown that if there are constants $F \geqslant 1$ and $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\max(\mathrm{dist}_{M_I}(\eta_I, m_I), \mathrm{dist}_{M_J}(\eta_J, m_J)) \leqslant F\, q^{-\tau},$$

then

$$\mathrm{dist}_N(\eta, m_{[k]}) \ll_{d,k} \sqrt{F}\, q^{-\gamma_k \min(1,\tau)},$$

where γ_k is as above, and only depends only on k, a and b. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.5 - modulo the proof of Proposition 2.9. We postpone this proof to Section 7, where a more general version will be established (see Proposition 7.2).

3. Approximate configurations in lattices

The aim of this section is to prove Corollary 1.4. Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite centre having no compact factors. Let Γ be an irreducible lattice in G. We recall (see, for instance, [22, p. 285]) that this action has strong spectral gap. We fix a left-invariant Riemannian metric ρ_G on G which is bi-invariant under a fixed maximal compact subgroup of G.

We want to show that given any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any k-tuple $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k$ with sufficiently large width

$$w(g_1, \dots, g_k) := \min_{i \neq j} \rho_G(g_i, g_j),$$

there exist a k-tuples $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in \Gamma^k$ and an element $g \in G$ such that

$$\rho_G(g_i, g\gamma_i) < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

To construct such a tuple in Γ^k, we apply Theorem 1.1 to the action of G on the space $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ equipped with its Haar probability measure m. By Theorem 1.1, we know that for suitable large $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and small $\delta > 0$,

$$m((g_1 \cdot \phi_1) \cdots (g_k \cdot \phi_k)) = m(\phi_1) \cdots m(\phi_k) + O_{d,k}\left(e^{-\delta\, w(g_1,\dots,g_k)}\, \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_1) \cdots \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_k)\right) \quad (3.1)$$

for all $\phi_1, \dots \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$.

We shall apply this estimate to suitably chosen ϕ_i's. Let us first choose a (left and right) Haar measure m_G on G so that

$$\int_G \Phi \, dm_G = \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \Phi(\gamma g) \right) dm(\Gamma g) \qquad \text{for all } \Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(G).$$

Let $\Phi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ be a non-zero non-negative function such that $\mathrm{supp}(\Phi_\varepsilon) \subset B_\varepsilon(e)$, $\|\Phi_\varepsilon\|_1 = 1$, and $\|\mathcal{D}\Phi_\varepsilon\|_2 \ll \varepsilon^{-\theta}$ with some $\theta = \theta(d) > 0$ for all differential operators $\mathcal{D}$ as in (2.9) with degree $\deg(\mathcal{D}) \leqslant d$. Let

$$\phi_\varepsilon(\Gamma g) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \Phi_\varepsilon(\gamma g).$$

Then $m(\phi_\varepsilon) = 1$ and $\mathcal{S}_d(\phi_\varepsilon) \ll_d \varepsilon^{-\theta}$. Hence, we deduce from (3.1) that

$$m((g_1 \cdot \phi_\varepsilon) \cdots (g_k \cdot \phi_\varepsilon)) = 1 + O_{d,k}\left(e^{-\delta w(g_1,\dots,g_k)}\, \varepsilon^{-k\theta}\right).$$

If we take $c > k\theta/\delta$ and assume that $w(g_1, \dots, g_k) \geqslant c \log(1/\varepsilon)$, then it follows that for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$m((g_1 \cdot \phi_\varepsilon) \cdots (g_k \cdot \phi_\varepsilon)) > 0.$$

Finally, we observe that

$$m((g_1 \cdot \phi_\varepsilon) \cdots (g_k \cdot \phi_\varepsilon)) = \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \left(\sum_{(\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_k)\in\Gamma^k} \Phi_\varepsilon(\gamma_1 g g_1) \cdots \Phi_\varepsilon(\gamma_k g g_k) \right) dm(\Gamma g),$$

and thus it follows that there exist $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in \Gamma^k$ and $g \in G$ such that

$$\gamma_1 g g_1, \dots, \gamma_k g g_k \in \operatorname{supp}(\Phi_\varepsilon) \subset B_\varepsilon(e).$$

We conclude that for all $i = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\rho_G(g_i, g^{-1}\gamma_i^{-1}) = \rho_G(\gamma_i g g_i, e_G) < \epsilon.$$

This implies Corollary 1.4.

4. Higher-order correlations for S-alebraic groups

4.1. **Preliminaries**

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a simply connected and absolutely simple algebraic group defined over a number field F, let S be a finite set of places of F, and set $G := \prod_{v\in S} G_v$ where $G_v = \mathbb{G}(F_v)$. For every place $v \in S$, we fix a maximal F_v-split torus $\mathbb{A}_v$ of $\mathbb{G}$ and set $A_v = \mathbb{A}_v(F_v)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}_v$ the Lie algebra of the p-adic Lie group G_v and by $\Sigma_v \subset \mathbb{A}_v^*$ the root system with respect to the adjoint action of the torus $\mathbb{A}_v$ on the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{G}$. Then there is the root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_v$:

$$\mathfrak{g}_v = \mathfrak{g}_v^0 + \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Sigma_v} \mathfrak{g}_v^\alpha, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_v^0$ is the centraliser of $\mathrm{Lie}(A_v)$ in $\mathfrak{g}_v$, and

$$\mathfrak{g}_v^\alpha := \{Z \in \mathfrak{g} : \operatorname{Ad}(a)Z = \alpha(a)Z \quad \text{for all } a \in A_v\}.$$

It will be convenient to succinctly write

$$\mathfrak{g} := \bigoplus_{v\in S} \mathfrak{g}_v \quad \text{and} \quad A := \prod_{v\in S} A_v.$$

Then we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^0 + \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Sigma} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\Sigma = \sqcup_{v\in S}\Sigma_v$, and $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$'s are root space for the action of A on $\mathfrak{g}$. These are precisely the roots spaces in the decompositions (4.1).

For every $v \in S$, we choose a system $\Sigma_v^+ \subset \Sigma_v$ of positive roots, and set $\Sigma^+ = \sqcup_{v\in S}\Sigma_v^+$. We define the closed Weyl chamber

$$A_v^+ := \{a \in A_v \,:\, |\alpha(a)|_v \geqslant 1 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Sigma_v^+\}.$$

There exists a good maximal compact subgroup K_ν of $\mathbb{G}(F_\nu)$ and a finite subset Ω_ν of the centraliser of A_ν in $\mathbb{G}(F_\nu)$ such that the Cartan decomposition

$$\mathbb{G}(F_\nu) = K_\nu A_\nu^+ \Omega_\nu K_\nu \tag{4.3}$$

holds ([3], [39]). We write succinctly

$$K := \prod_{\nu\in S} K_\nu, \qquad A^+ := \prod_{\nu\in S} A_\nu^+, \qquad \Omega := \prod_{\nu\in S} \Omega_\nu.$$

Then we have the decomposition

$$G = KA^+\Omega K,$$

which is an analogue of the real Cartan decomposition (2.2).

We fix a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ which is the maximum of fixed norms on $\mathfrak{g}_\nu$, and define a sub-multiplicative function $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{op}}$ on G by

$$\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} := \max\{\|\operatorname{Ad}(g)Z\| : Z \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ with } \|Z\| = 1\}. \tag{4.4}$$

This definition is similar to the definition (2.3). One checks that $\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} \geqslant 1$ for all $g \in G$.

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 4.1. *(i) There exists $c_0 \geqslant 1$ such that for every $g = k_1 a \omega k_2 \in KA^+\Omega K$,*

$$c_0^{-1}\left(\max_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} |\alpha(a)|\right) \leqslant \|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leqslant c_0\left(\max_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} |\alpha(a)|\right).$$

(ii) For every $g \in G$, there exists $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_\nu$ for some $\nu \in S$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(Z)$ is nilpotent, $\|Z\| = 1$, and

$$\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leqslant c_0 \|\operatorname{Ad}(g)Z\|.$$

(iii) There exist constants $c_1, c_2 \geqslant 1$ such that

$$c_2^{-1}\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}}^{c_1^{-1}} \leqslant H(g) \leqslant c_2\|g\|_{\mathrm{op}}^{c_1}$$

for all $g \in G$.

(iv) There is a constant $c_3 \geqslant 1$ such that for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\nu$, $\nu \in S$, such that $\operatorname{Ad}(X)$ is nilpotent, we have

$$c_3^{-1}\max(1, \|X\|) \leqslant \|\exp(X)\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leqslant c_3 \max(1, \|X\|)^{\dim(G)}.$$

Proof. We first observe that by compactness there exists a constant $c_0' \geqslant 1$ such that for every element $g \in (K \cup \Omega K)^{\pm 1}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$(c_0')^{-1}\|Z\| \leqslant \|\operatorname{Ad}(g)Z\| \leqslant c_0'\|Z\|.$$

This, in particular, implies that for every $g = k_1 a \omega k_2 \in KA^+\Omega K$,

$$(c_0')^{-2}\|a\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leqslant \|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leqslant (c_0')^2\|a\|_{\mathrm{op}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\|a\|_{\mathrm{op}} \ll H(g) \ll \|a\|_{\mathrm{op}}.$$

Hence, it is sufficient to prove (i) and (iii) for $g \in A^+$.

Decomposing $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the decomposition (4.2) as $Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma \cup \{0\}} Y_\alpha$, we deduce that for every $a \in A^+$,

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}(a)Y\| \leqslant \max_{\alpha \in \Sigma \cup \{0\}} |\alpha(a)| \, \|Y_\alpha\| \leqslant \left(\max_{\alpha \in \Sigma \cup \{0\}} |\alpha(a)| \right) \|Y\| = \left(\max_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} |\alpha(a)| \right) \|Y\|. \tag{4.6}$$

If we choose $\alpha_0 \in \Sigma^+$ such that $\alpha_0(a) = \max_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \alpha(a)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha_0}$, then the equality in (4.6) holds. This implies that given any $a \in A^+$, there exists $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ contained in a single root space such that $\|Y\| = 1$ and

$$\|a\|_{\mathrm{op}} = \|\,\mathrm{Ad}(a)Y\| = \max_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} |\alpha(a)|. \tag{4.7}$$

This completes the proof of (i).

To prove (ii), we observe that it follows from (4.5) and (4.7) that for $g = k_1 a \omega k_2 \in KA^+\Omega K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{\mathrm{op}} &\leqslant (c_0')^2 \, \|a\|_{\mathrm{op}} = (c_0')^2 \, \|\,\mathrm{Ad}(a)Y\| \leqslant (c_0')^3 \, \|\,\mathrm{Ad}(k_1 a \omega k_2)\,\mathrm{Ad}(\omega k_2)^{-1} Y\| \\ &= (c_0')^3 \|\,\mathrm{Ad}(\omega k_2)^{-1} Y\| \, \|\,\mathrm{Ad}(g)Z\| \leqslant (c_0')^4 \, \|\,\mathrm{Ad}(g)Z\|, \end{aligned}$$

where Z is a scalar multiple of $\mathrm{Ad}(\omega k_2)^{-1} Y$ of norm one. Since Y is contained in a single root spaces $\mathfrak{g}_\nu^\alpha$ for some $\nu \in S$, the map $\mathrm{Ad}(Y)$ is nilpotent. This also implies that $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_\nu$, and thus $\mathrm{Ad}(Z)$ is nilpotent. Hence, (ii) is proved.

Now we proceed with the proof of (iii). Let us fix the set of simple roots $\Pi_\nu \subset \Sigma_\nu^+$, for every place $\nu \in S$. Then every $\alpha \in \Sigma_\nu^+$ can be expressed as a product of simple roots with non-negative exponents, so that there exists $c_1' \geqslant 1$ such that for every $a \in A^+$,

$$\left(\max_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\alpha(a)| \right) \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leqslant \left(\max_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\alpha(a)| \right)^{c_1'}, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\Pi = \bigcup_{\nu \in S} \Pi_\nu$ is considered as a subset of the set of characters of A. We have $\|\cdot\|_\nu \gg 1$ on G_ν for $\nu \in S$, so that

$$\max_{\nu \in S} \|g_\nu\|_\nu \ll H(g) \ll \left(\max_{\nu \in S} \|g_\nu\|_\nu \right)^{|S|} \qquad \text{for } g = (g_\nu)_{\nu \in S} \in G.$$

We consider the representation of $\mathbb{G}$ defined by the embedding $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$. Let Φ_ν denote the set of weights of this representation with respect to the torus $\mathbb{A}_\nu$. Since $\mathbb{A}_\nu$ is split over F_ν, the action of $A_\nu = \mathbb{A}_\nu(F_\nu)$ on F_ν^n is completely reducible. This implies that for $a_\nu \in A_\nu$, $\nu \in S$,

$$\max_{\chi \in \Phi_\nu} |\chi(a_\nu)| \ll \|a_\nu\|_\nu \ll \max_{\chi \in \Phi_\nu} |\chi(a_\nu)|.$$

Hence, there exists $c_2 \geqslant 1$ such that for every $a \in A$,

$$c_2^{-1} \left(\max_{\chi \in \Phi} |\chi(a)| \right) \leqslant H(a) \leqslant c_2 \left(\max_{\chi \in \Phi} |\chi(a)| \right)^{|S|}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $\Phi = \cup_{\nu \in S} \Phi_\nu$ is considered as a subset of the set of characters of A. We denote by $\Pi_\nu^\vee$ the set of fundamental weights corresponsing to Π_ν. We recall that a weight $\chi \in \Phi_\nu$ is called dominant if

$$\chi = \prod_{\psi \in \Pi_\nu^\vee} \psi^{n_\psi}$$

with some non-negative integers n_ψ. Since every $\chi \in \Phi_v$ is of the form

$$\chi = \psi \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi_v} \alpha^{-s_\alpha}$$

for some dominant weight ψ and non-negative integers s_α, it follows that for every $a \in A^+$,

$$\max_{\chi \in \Phi} |\chi(a)| = \max_{\psi \in \Phi^{dom}} |\psi(a)|, \tag{4.10}$$

where Φ^{dom} denotes the subset of dominant weights of Φ. For every $\psi \in \Pi_v^\vee$, there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\psi^\ell = \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi_v} \alpha^{m_\alpha}$$

for some positive integers m_α (see [32, Ch. 3, §1.9]). Here we used that since $\mathbb{G}$ is absolutely simple, the root systems Σ_v are irreducible. Hence, we deduce that there exists $c_1'' \geqslant 1$ such that for every $a \in A^+$,

$$\left(\max_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\alpha(a)|\right)^{(c_1'')^{-1}} \leqslant \max_{\psi \in \Phi^{dom}} |\psi(a)| \leqslant \left(\max_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\alpha(a)|\right)^{c_1''}.$$

Combining this estimate with (4.8),(4.9) and (4.10), we deduce (iii).

Finally, the claim (iv) is proved exactly as in Lemma 2.1. □

4.2. **Reductions in the proof of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6**

The proof of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 follows the same steps as the proof of Theorem 1.1, and we freely use the notation introduced in Section 2. It is clear that Theorem 1.5 is a particular case of Theorem 1.6 with $\xi = m_{\Delta_{[k]}(X)}$.

As in Section 2, we can now introduce the projective tensor product norms. For $I \subset [k]$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)_I^U$ the algebraic tensor product of the algebras $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^U$ over the set of indices in I. For a function $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)_I^U$, we define

$$\mathcal{S}_{d,U,I}(\phi) := \inf\Big\{ \sum_j \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_{i_1 j}) \cdots \mathcal{S}_d(\phi_{i_l j}) \Big\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible ways to write ϕ as a finite sum of the form

$$\phi = \sum_j \phi_{i_1 j} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{i_l j}, \qquad \text{with } \phi_{i_1 j}, \ldots, \phi_{i_l j} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^U.$$

Theorem 1.6 can be reformulated in terms of the Wasserstein distance $\mathrm{dist}_{d,U,I} = \mathrm{dist}_{\mathcal{S}_{d,U,I}}$ as:

For all $k \geqslant 2$ *and sufficiently large* d, *there exists* $\delta = \delta(k,d) > 0$ *such that for all compact open subgroups* $U \subset G_f$ *and* $g_{[k]} = (g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in G_{[k]}$,

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,U,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, m_{[k]}) \ll_{d,U,k} \mathfrak{H}(g_{[k]})^{-\delta}.$$

Theorem 1.6 will be deduced from the following general inductive estimate which generalises Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 4.2. *Fix* $d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* (1.9)–(1.13) *hold and a compact open subgroup* U *of* G_f. *Let us also fix* $q \geqslant 1$, *an integer* $k \geqslant 2$, *and a* k*-tuple* $g_{[k]} = (g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in G_{[k]}$, *and suppose that*

- ξ *is a* $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$*-invariant* k*-coupling of* (X, m).
- *There exists* $\varepsilon > 0$ *such that*

$$\max_{i \neq j} \|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{\mathrm{op}} \geqslant q^{\varepsilon}. \tag{4.11}$$

- *There exists* $\delta_2 > 0$ *such that for all* $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^u$ *and* $g \in G$,

$$|m((g \cdot \phi_1)\phi_2) - m(\phi_1)m(\phi_2)| \ll_{d,u,r} \|g\|_{\mathrm{op}}^{-\delta_2} \mathcal{S}_{d+r}(\phi_1)\, \mathcal{S}_{d+r}(\phi_2), \tag{4.12}$$

- *There exist* $F \geqslant 1$ *and* $\tau > 0$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,u,I}(g_I^{-1} \cdot \xi_I, m_I) \leqslant F\, q^{-\tau}, \quad \textit{for all } I \subsetneq [k]. \tag{4.13}$$

Then there exists $\gamma_k > 0$*, which only depends on* k, d, r *and* δ_2*, such that*

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d+r,u,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, m_{[k]}) \ll_{d,u,r,k} \sqrt{F}\, q^{-\gamma_k \min(\varepsilon,\tau)}. \tag{4.14}$$

It is straightforward to deduce Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 from Theorem 4.2 by taking

$$q = \min_{i \neq j} \|g_i g_j^{-1}\|_{\mathrm{op}} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon = 1$$

(cf. the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 in Section 2.4), so we omit the details. Although the parameter ϵ is not needed for the proof of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6, it will be important later when we estimate higher order correlations for adele groups. The proof of Theorem 4.2 occupies the rest of this section.

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 4.2**

We proceed as in Section 2.5. Define

$$Q := \max_{i \neq j} \|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{\mathrm{op}}$$

and fix indices $i_0 \neq j_0 \in [k]$ such that $Q = \|g_{i_0}^{-1} g_{j_0}\|_{\mathrm{op}}$. By Lemma 4.1(ii), there exists $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_\nu$ for some $\nu \in S$ with $\|Z\| = 1$ such that $\mathrm{ad}(Z)$ is a nilpotent endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, and

$$Q = \|g_{i_0}^{-1} g_{j_0}\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leqslant c_0 \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_{i_0}^{-1} g_{j_0}) Z\|.$$

After reindexing, we may assume that

$$\|\operatorname{Ad}(g_1^{-1} g_s) Z\| \geqslant \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_2^{-1} g_s) Z\| \geqslant \ldots \geqslant \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_k^{-1} g_s) Z\|,$$

and

$$\|\operatorname{Ad}(g_1^{-1} g_s) Z\| \geqslant c_0^{-1}\, Q.$$

Let us now re-normalize the sequence $W_j = \operatorname{Ad}(g_j^{-1} g_s) Z$ so that the resulting sequence $Z_1, \ldots, Z_k$ satisfy with $w_j = \|Z_j\|$,

$$1 = w_1 \geqslant w_2 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant w_k \quad \text{and} \quad w_k \leqslant \|\operatorname{Ad}(g_1^{-1} g_s) Z\|^{-1} \leqslant c_0\, Q^{-1}. \tag{4.15}$$

We note that all elements Z_j are contained in $\mathfrak{g}_\nu$ for a fixed $\nu \in S$. We set $\mathbb{K}$ to be either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$, so that $\mathbb{K} \subset F_\nu$, and consider the flows $h_j : \mathbb{K} \times X \to X$ defined by

$$h_j(t) \cdot x = \exp(tZ_j) \cdot x, \qquad \text{for } x \in X.$$

We fix an index $1 \leqslant p \leqslant k-1$ and consider the decomposition $[k] = I \sqcup J$, where $I = [1, p]$ and $J = [p+1, k]$. Then we also have the diagonal flows

$$h_I : \mathbb{K} \times X_I \to X_I, \quad h_J : \mathbb{K} \times X_J \to X_J, \quad h : \mathbb{K} \times X_{[k]} \to X_{[k]}.$$

We note that the vectors Z_j are chosen so that the coupling $\eta = g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi$ is invariant under the flows h, and its marginals η_I and η_J are invariant under the flows h_I and h_J respectively.

We fix a compact open subgroup U of G_f, and set $\mathcal{A}^U = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^U$. For $v \in S_\infty$, we denote by ρ_{G_v} the left-invariant Riemannian metric on G_v defined as in Section 2.1. For $v \in S_f$, we denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the operator norms on $\mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g}_v)$. Let d and r be integers so that so that the Sobolev norms $M := \mathcal{S}_d$ and $N := \mathcal{S}_{d+r}$ on $\mathcal{A}^U$ satisfy

$$\|\phi\|_\infty \ll_{d,U} M(\phi) \ll_{d,U,r} N(\phi),$$

and

$$\|\phi - g \cdot \phi\|_\infty \ll_{d,U,r} \rho_{G_v}(g, e_{G_v})\, N(\phi)$$

for all $g \in G_v$ with $v \in S_\infty$, and

$$\|\phi - g \cdot \phi\|_\infty \ll_{d,U,r} \|\mathrm{Ad}(g) - \mathrm{id}\|\, N(\phi)$$

or all $g \in G_v$ with $v \in S_f$, and

$$N(g \cdot \phi) \ll_{d,U,r} \|g\|_{\mathrm{op}}^\sigma\, N(\phi)$$

for all $g \in G$ and some $\sigma = \sigma(d, r) > 0$, and

$$M(\phi_1 \cdot \phi_2) \ll_{d,U,r} N(\phi_1) N(\phi_2).$$

Using these estimates, we establish the following properties of the flows h_I and h_J, which then imply the assumptions of Proposition 7.2 for these flows:

1. There exists $A \geqslant 1$, depending only on d, U, r and k, and $a > 0$, depending only on d, r and k, such that for all $t \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\phi_I \in \mathcal{A}_I^U$,
$$N_I(h_I(t) \cdot \phi_I) \leqslant A \max(1, |t|)^a\, N_I(\phi_I).$$
2. There exists $B \geqslant 1$, depending only on d, U, r and k, such that for all $t \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\phi_I \in \mathcal{A}_I^U$,
$$|m_I((h_I(t) \cdot \phi_I)\phi_I) - m_I(\phi_I)^2| \leqslant B \max(1, w_p|t|)^{-\delta_2}\, N_I(\phi_I)^2.$$
3. There exists $C \geqslant 1$, depending only on d, U, r and k, such that for all $t \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $|t| \leqslant w_{p+1}^{-1}$ and $\phi_J \in \mathcal{A}_J^U$,
$$\|h_J(t) \cdot \phi_J - \phi_J\|_\infty \leqslant C\, w_{p+1}|t|\, N_J(\phi_J).$$

The proofs of Properties 1–3 are essentially the same as the proofs of Lemmas 2.6, 2.7, and 2.8, so we omit the details. We only comment on the proof of the last property when $\mathbb{K}$ is non-Archimedean. In this case we can argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.8, and it remains to estimate

$$\|\phi - \exp(tZ_l) \cdot \phi\|_\infty \ll_{d,U,r} \|\mathrm{Ad}(\exp(tZ_l)) - \mathrm{id}\|\, N(\phi)$$

for $p + 1 \leqslant l \leqslant k$. Since

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\exp(tZ_l)) = \exp(t\,\mathrm{ad}(Z_l)) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim(\mathfrak{g}_v)} \frac{(t\,\mathrm{ad}(Z_l))^i}{i!},$$

and

$$\|t\,\mathrm{ad}(Z_l)\| \ll \|tZ_l\|_v \leqslant |t|\,\|Z_{p+1}\|_v = |t| w_{p+1} \leqslant 1,$$

it follows that

$$\|\exp(tZ_l) - \mathrm{id}\| \ll w_{p+1}|t|.$$

This, in turn, implies Property 3.

Next, since Properties 1–3 hold, we can apply Proposition 7.2 (proved in Section 7) to prove that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{N_{[k]}}(\eta, m_{[k]}) \ll_{d,U,r,k} \max((\mathcal{M}T^a)^{1/2}, (w_p T)^{-\delta_2/2}, w_{p+1}T),$$

for all $T \in [w_p^{-1}, w_{p+1}^{-1}]$, where

$$\mathcal{M} := \max(\operatorname{dist}_{M_I}(\eta_I, m_I), \operatorname{dist}_{M_J}(\eta_J, m_J)).$$

In order to finish the proof of Theorem 1.5, we need to first solve the following problem: Given $Q \geqslant 1$, we wish to "minimize" (over p and T for which $T \in [w_p^{-1}, w_{p+1}^{-1}]$) the expression

$$\max((\mathcal{M}T^a)^{1/2}, (w_p T)^{-\delta_2/2}, w_{p+1}T),$$

where $w_1, \dots, w_k$ is a fixed sequence which satisfies

$$1 = w_1 \geqslant w_2 \geqslant \dots \geqslant w_k \quad \text{and} \quad w_k \leqslant c_0\, Q^{-1}.$$

We outline below one way to do this, under the assumptions that Q is not "too small" while $\mathcal{M}$ is "small".

To make the notions "large" and "small" more precise, we fix a parameter $q \geqslant 1$, and constants $F \geqslant 1$ and $\varepsilon, \tau > 0$ such that

$$Q \geqslant q^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M} \leqslant F\, q^{-\tau}$$

(cf. (4.11) and (4.13)). The problem now takes the following form. We wish to bound from above (for some appropriate choices of p and T such that $T \in [w_p^{-1}, w_{p+1}^{-1}]$) the expression

$$\sqrt{F}\max(q^{-\tau/2}T^{a/2}, (w_p T)^{-\delta_2/2}, w_{p+1}T), \tag{4.16}$$

where $w_1, \dots, w_k$ is a sequence which satisfies

$$1 = w_1 \geqslant w_2 \geqslant \dots \geqslant w_k \quad \text{and} \quad w_k \leqslant c_0\, q^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Let us consider a collection points θ^i, $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$, with $\theta \in [w_k^{1/k}, 1]$. Since all of these points lie between w_k and w_1, by the Pigeonhole Principle, there exist two consecutive points θ^{i+1} and θ^i for some $i = 0, \dots, k-2$ that will end up in one and the same of the $(k-1)$ intervals

$$[w_k, w_{k-1}), \dots, [w_3, w_2), [w_2, w_1].$$

We fix an index $1 \leqslant p < k$ for which

$$w_{p+1} \leqslant \theta^{i+1} < \theta^i \leqslant w_p. \tag{4.17}$$

Let $T = \theta^{-i-1/2}$. Then

$$w_p T = w_p \theta^{-i-1/2} \geqslant \theta^i \theta^{-i-1/2} = \theta^{-1/2} \geqslant 1,$$

and

$$w_{p+1} T \leqslant \theta^{i+1}\theta^{-i-1/2} = \theta^{1/2} \leqslant 1,$$

Using (4.17), we deduce that (4.16) is bounded from above by

$$\sqrt{F}\max(q^{-\tau/2}\theta^{-a(k-3/2)/2}, \theta^{\delta_2/4}, \theta^{1/2}). \tag{4.18}$$

We take

$$\theta = \max\left(w_k^{1/k}, q^{-\tau/a(2k-3)}\right).$$

Since $w_k \leqslant c_0\, q^{-\varepsilon}$, we deduce that (4.18)

$$\ll \sqrt{F} q^{-\gamma_k \min(\varepsilon,\tau)},$$

where γ_k depends only on k, a and δ_2. Furthermore, $\gamma_k \gg 1/k$.

We have shown that if $q \geqslant 1$ is fixed, $F \geqslant 1$, and $\tau, \varepsilon > 0$ are constants such that

$$Q \geqslant q^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \max(\mathrm{dist}_{M_I}(\eta_I, m_I), \mathrm{dist}_{M_J}(\eta_J, m_J)) \leqslant F q^{-\tau},$$

then

$$\mathrm{dist}_{N_{[k]}}(\eta, m_{[k]}) \ll_{d,U,r,k} \sqrt{F}\, q^{-\gamma_k \min(\varepsilon,\tau)}.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.5 modulo the proof of Proposition 7.2 that we will prove in Section 7.

5. Higher-order correlations for adele groups

Let $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ be a simply connected absolute simple algebraic group defined over a number field F. We denote by $\mathcal{V}_F$ the set of places of F. For $v \in \mathcal{V}_F$, let F_v be the corresponding completion of F. For non-Archimedean places v, we also denote by $O_v = \{x \in F_v : |x|_v \leqslant 1\}$ the ring of integers in F_v. Then the adele group

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F) := \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_F}{}' \mathbb{G}(F_v)$$

is the restricted direct product with respect to the family of compact open subgroups $\mathbb{G}(O_v)$. We set

$$G_\infty := \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_F^\infty} \mathbb{G}(F_v) \quad \text{and} \quad G_f := \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_F^f}{}' \mathbb{G}(F_v),$$

where $\mathcal{V}_F^\infty$ and $\mathcal{V}_F^f$ denote the subsets of Archimedean places and non-Archimedean places respectively. We also denote by U_∞ the subgroup of G_∞ consisting of compact factors. The group of rational points

$$\Gamma := \mathbb{G}(F)$$

embeds in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ diagonally as a discrete subgroup with finite covolume. We will be interested in the action of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ on the homogeneous space

$$X := \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)$$

equipped with Haar probability measure m.

Given a compact open subgroup W of G_f, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^W$ the algebra of compactly supported functions on X which are smooth with respect to the action of G_∞ and are W-invariant. Let us introduce a collection of Sobolev norms $\mathcal{S}_{d,W}$ on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^W$. We choose a finite collection S of places which contains all Archimedean places such that the group

$$G := \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{G}(F_v)$$

is not compact, and we set

$$D := \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_F \setminus S}{}' \mathbb{G}(F_v),$$

so that $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F) = G \times D$. We assume that the compact open subgroup W is of the form $U \times V$ where U is a compact open subgroup of $\prod_{v \in S \cap \mathcal{V}_F^f} \mathbb{G}(F_v)$, and V is a compact open subgroup of D. Let

$$\Gamma_V := \Gamma \cap (G \times V).$$

It will often be convenient to consider Γ_V as a subgroup of G by identifying it with the corresponding projection. It follows from the Strong Approximation Theorem [34, §7.4] that the projection of Γ to D is dense. Using this, one can check that the map

$$\Gamma_V\backslash G \to \Gamma\backslash(G \times D)/V : \ \Gamma_V g \mapsto \Gamma(g, e_D)V \tag{5.1}$$

is a G-equivariant homeomorphism. In particular, Γ_V is a lattice in G. We set

$$X_V := \Gamma_V\backslash G,$$

and denote by m_V the invariant probability measure on X_V. Using that (5.1) is a homeomorphism, we see that the map $\mathcal{C}_c(X_V) \to \mathcal{C}_c(X)^V$ given by $\phi \mapsto F_\phi$, where

$$F_\phi(\Gamma(g, e_D)) = \phi(\Gamma_V g), \qquad \text{for } \Gamma_V g \in X_V, \tag{5.2}$$

is a well-defined isomorphism, and

$$\int_X F_\phi \, dm = \int_{X_V} \phi \, dm_V, \qquad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(X_V).$$

This map also induces the isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^W \cong \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X_V)^U$. Using this identification, we introduce our Sobolev norms on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^U$. For an integer d, we define the *Sobolev norm* $\mathcal{S}_{d,W}$ on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^W$ of order d and level W, by

$$\mathcal{S}_{d,W}(F_\phi) := \mathcal{S}_d(\phi), \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X_V)^U, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_d$ is the Sobolev norm on the S-algebraic homogeneous space as in Section 4.

5.1. **A reformulation of Theorem 1.7**

Let S be the subset of $\mathcal{V}_F^\infty$ consisting of v such that $\mathbb{G}(F_v)$ is not compact and $R = \mathcal{V}_F^\infty\backslash S$. According to our assumption on $\mathbb{G}$, $S \neq \emptyset$. We set

$$G := \prod_{v\in S} \mathbb{G}(F_v) \quad \text{and} \quad U_\infty := \prod_{v\in R} \mathbb{G}(F_v).$$

When $R = \emptyset$, then G_∞ has no compact factors, and we set $U_\infty = 1$. We observe that for a compact open subgroup W of G_f, we have

$$C_c^\infty(X)^{U_\infty W} \cong \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X/U_\infty)^W \quad \text{and} \quad X/U_\infty \cong \Gamma\backslash\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^R),$$

where

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^R) =: \prod_{v\in\mathcal{V}_F\setminus R}{}' \mathbb{G}(F_v),$$

and Γ is identified with its projection to $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^R)$. Hence, it sufficient to prove Theorem 1.7 for functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^R))^W$ and $s_1, \dots, s_k \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^R)$. We also set

$$D := \prod_{v\in\mathcal{V}_F\setminus\mathcal{V}_F^\infty}{}' \mathbb{G}(F_v),$$

so that $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^R) = G \times D$. We note that $\Gamma = \mathbb{G}(F)$ is embedded diagonally in $G \times D$ as a lattice. It follows from the Strong and Weak Approximation Theorems [34, Ch. 7] that the projections of Γ to G and D, as well as each of the simple factors G_v, $v \in S$, of G are dense. From now on we set

$$X := \Gamma\backslash(G \times D).$$

With these notations, we still have the identifications (5.1) and (5.2) with $V = W$.

We retain the notation introduced in Sections 2 and 4, and take

$$g_{[k]} = (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G_{[k]} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{[k]} = (d_1, \dots, d_k) \in D_{[k]}. \tag{5.4}$$

Since the projection of Γ to D is dense in D, we can find $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ such that

$$d_i \in \gamma_i W \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, k. \tag{5.5}$$

We set $\gamma_{[k]} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in \Gamma^k$. Given $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c(X_W)$, we consider the corresponding functions $F_1 = F_{\phi_1}, \dots, F_k = F_{\phi_k} \in \mathcal{C}_c(X)^W$ defined via the isomorphism (5.2) with $V = W$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big((g_{[k]}, d_{[k]})^{-1} \cdot m_{\Delta_{[k]}(X)}\big)(F_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes F_k) \\
&= \int_X F_1(\Gamma(gg_1, dd_1)) \cdots F_k(\Gamma(gg_k, dd_k))\, dm(\Gamma(g, d)) \\
&= \int_X F_1(\Gamma(gg_1, d\gamma_1)) \cdots F_k(\Gamma(gg_k, d\gamma_k))\, dm(\Gamma(g, d)) \\
&= \int_X \left(\int_W F_1(\Gamma(gg_1, dw\gamma_1)) \cdots F_k(\Gamma(gg_k, dw\gamma_k))\, d\nu_W(w) \right) dm(\Gamma(g, d)),
\end{aligned}$$

where ν_W denote the normalised invariant measure on the compact subgroup W. If we define

$$F(\Gamma(g, d)) := \int_W F_1(\Gamma(gg_1, dw\gamma_1)) \cdots F_k(\Gamma(gg_k, dw\gamma_k))\, d\nu_W(w),$$

then clearly F belongs to $\mathcal{C}_c(X)^W$, and thus

$$\int_X F\, dm = \int_{X_W} F(\Gamma(g, e_D))\, dm_W(\Gamma_W g).$$

On the other hand, since the integrand in the definition of F, viewed as a function on the group W, is invariant under the open in D subgroup

$$W' := \bigcap_i \gamma_i W \gamma_i^{-1},$$

we see that

$$F(\Gamma(g, e_D)) \;=\; \frac{1}{|W/W'|} \sum_{w \in W/W'} F_1(\Gamma(gg_1, w\gamma_1)) \cdots F_k(\Gamma(gg_k, w\gamma_k)).$$

Since the projection of Γ to D is dense, we have $W/W' = \Gamma_W/\Gamma_{W'}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\Gamma(g, e_D)) &= \frac{1}{|\Gamma_W/\Gamma_{W'}|} \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_W/\Gamma_{W'}} F_1(\Gamma(gg_1, \delta\gamma_1)) \cdots F_k(\Gamma(gg_k, \delta\gamma_k)) \\
&= \frac{1}{|\Gamma_W/\Gamma_{W'}|} \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_W/\Gamma_{W'}} F_1(\Gamma(\gamma_1^{-1}\delta^{-1}gg_1, e_D)) \cdots F_k(\Gamma(\gamma_k^{-1}\delta^{-1}gg_k, e_D)) \\
&= \frac{1}{|\Gamma_W/\Gamma_{W'}|} \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_W/\Gamma_{W'}} \phi_1(\Gamma_W\gamma_1^{-1}\delta^{-1}gg_1) \cdots \phi_k(\Gamma_W\gamma_k^{-1}\delta^{-1}gg_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X F\,dm &= \int_{X_W}\left(\frac{1}{|\Gamma_W/\Gamma_{W'}|}\sum_{\delta\in\Gamma_W/\Gamma_{W'}}\phi_1(\Gamma_W\gamma_1^{-1}\delta^{-1}gg_1)\cdots\phi_k(\Gamma_W\gamma_k^{-1}\delta^{-1}gg_k)\right)dm_W(\Gamma_W g)\\ &= \int_{X_{W'}}\phi_1(\Gamma_W\gamma_1^{-1}gg_1)\cdots\phi_k(\Gamma_W\gamma_k^{-1}gg_k)\,dm_{W'}(\Gamma_W g)\\ &= (g_{[k]}^{-1}\cdot\xi_{\gamma_{[k]}})(\phi_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\phi_k),\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_{\gamma_{[k]}}$ denotes the invariant probability measure supported on the closed $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-orbit

$$\Gamma_W^k\gamma_{[k]}^{-1}\Delta_{[k]}(G)\cong\Gamma_{W'}\backslash G$$

in $(X_W)_{[k]}$. Hence, we conclude that for all $\phi_1,\dots,\phi_k\in\mathcal{C}_c(X_W)$,

$$\big((g_{[k]},d_{[k]})^{-1}\cdot m_{\Delta_{[k]}(X)}\big)(F_{\phi_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes F_{\phi_k})=(g_{[k]}^{-1}\cdot\xi_{\gamma_{[k]}})(\phi_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\phi_k).$$

Using the norms $\mathcal{S}_{d,W}$ defined by (5.3) with $V=W$ on the algebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)^W$, we introduce the Wasserstein distance $\mathrm{dist}_{d,W,[k]}$ on $\mathcal{P}(X_{[k]})$ as in (2.16). Then the proof of Theorem 1.7 reduces to estimating the distance $\mathrm{dist}_{d,W,[k]}((g_{[k]},d_{[k]})^{-1}\cdot m_{\Delta_{[k]}(X)},m_{[k]})$.

We also introduce the Wasserstein distance $\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}$ on $\mathcal{P}((X_W)_{[k]})$ defined by the Sobolev norms $\mathcal{S}_d$ on the algebra $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X_W)$. Then for every positive integer d,

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,W,[k]}((g_{[k]},d_{[k]})^{-1}\cdot m_{\Delta_{[k]}(X)},m_{[k]})=\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1}\cdot\xi_{\gamma_{[k]}},(m_W)_{[k]}),\tag{5.6}$$

for all $(g_{[k]},d_{[k]})\in G_{[k]}\times D_{[k]}$, where $\gamma_{[k]}$ is determined by (5.5). We note that $\xi_{\gamma_{[k]}}$ is obviously a $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-invariant k-coupling of (X_W,m_W), so that we can analyse $\xi_{\gamma_{[k]}}$ using the method of Section 4.

The height function $H:\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_F)\to\mathbb{R}^+$ is defined in (1.14) in terms of the norms $\|\cdot\|_v$ on $M_n(F_v)$. We note that $\|\cdot\|_v$ is invariant under $\mathbb{G}(O_v)$ for almost all v. Given $g_{[k]}$ and $d_{[k]}$ as in (5.4), we set

$$Q:=\max_{i\neq j}\|g_i^{-1}g_j\|_{op},\qquad q_G:=\min_{i\neq j}\|g_i^{-1}g_j\|_{op},\qquad q_D:=\min_{i\neq j}H(d_i^{-1}d_j),\tag{5.7}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is the sub-multiplicative function on G defined in (4.4). We also set

$$q:=\min_{i\neq j}\max(\|g_i^{-1}g_j\|_{op},H(d_i^{-1}d_j)).\tag{5.8}$$

We wish to show that for every large enough integer d, there exists $\delta=\delta(k,d)>0$ such that

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1}\cdot\xi_{\gamma_{[k]}},(m_W)_{[k]})\ll_{d,W,k}q^{-\delta}.$$

The proof will separate between the cases when Q is "almost as large as" q and when Q is "small" in comparison to q. To make all of this precise, let us fix $\varepsilon>0$, and consider the cases when

$$Q\geqslant q^\varepsilon\quad\text{ and }\quad Q<q^\varepsilon.$$

5.2. **Case I:** $Q \geqslant q^{\varepsilon}$

We shall apply Theorem 4.2 to the $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-invariant k-coupling $\xi = \xi_{\gamma_{[k]}}$ of (X_W, m_W). We note that when $I \subset [k]$ is a singleton, the assumption (4.13) of Theorem 4.2 is clearly satisfied. Assume now that we have shown that for sufficiently large d, there exist $\delta_{k-1} > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_I^{-1} \cdot \xi, (m_W)_I) \ll_{d,k} q^{-\delta_{k-1}}, \quad \text{for all } I \subsetneq [k].$$

Since $Q \geqslant q^{\varepsilon}$, we conclude, by applying Theorem 4.2 inductively, that there exists $\gamma_k > 0$ such that for sufficiently large d,

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \ll_{d,k} q^{-\gamma_k \min(\delta_{k-1}, \varepsilon)}. \tag{5.9}$$

5.3. **Case II:** $Q < q^{\varepsilon}$

Let us now deal with the trickier case when Q is "small" in comparison to q. A straightforward application of the property (1.12) for the Sobolev norm $\mathcal{S}_d$ and its projective tensor products (cf. Lemma 6.2) shows that there exists $\sigma = \sigma(d,k) > 0$ such that for all $g_{[k]} \in G_{[k]}$,

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d,k}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \ll_{d,k} Q^{\sigma} \operatorname{dist}_{d,k}(\xi, (m_W)_{[k]}). \tag{5.10}$$

We shall now show how one can estimate the right-hand side in (5.10) by utilising a general result by the second author, Margulis and Venkatesh [9, Theorem 1.3] which we apply to the measure ξ.

We recall that ξ denotes the normalized invariant measure supported on the closed $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$-orbit

$$Y(\gamma_{[k]}) := \Gamma_W^k \gamma_{[k]}^{-1} \Delta_{[k]}(G) \subset \Gamma_W^k \backslash G_{[k]} = (X_W)_{[k]}.$$

We note that using the restriction of scalars functor, we can consider X_W as a homogeneous space of a real algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $\mathbb{G}$ is simply connected and isotropic over F_v for $v \in S$, the group $\Delta_{[k]}(G) \cong G = \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{G}(F_v)$ is generated by unipotent one-parameter subgroups. Also the centraliser of $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$ in $G_{[k]}$ is finite. Hence, the results of [9] are applicable. We observe that

$$Y(\gamma_{[k]}) \cong \Gamma'_W \backslash G,$$

where

$$\Gamma'_W = \bigcap_i \gamma_i \Gamma_W \gamma_i^{-1}.$$

If the volume of X_W is normalized to be one, we see that the volume of the orbit $Y(\gamma_{[k]})$ equals the index

$$\left| \Gamma_W : \Gamma_W \cap \Big(\bigcap_{i \neq j} \gamma_j^{-1} \gamma_i \Gamma_W \gamma_i^{-1} \gamma_j \Big) \right|$$

for every fixed j. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we define

$$\Omega_W(\gamma) := |\Gamma_W : \Gamma_W \cap \gamma \Gamma_W \gamma^{-1}|,$$

and for $\gamma_{[k]} = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k) \in \Gamma^k$,

$$\Omega_W(\gamma_{[k]}) := \min_{i \neq j} \Omega_W(\gamma_j^{-1} \gamma_i).$$

Then

$$\operatorname{vol}\big(Y(\gamma_{[k]})\big) \geqslant \Omega_W(\gamma_{[k]}).$$

In order to apply [9, Theorem 1.3], we need to describe orbits $\Gamma_W^k \gamma_{[k]}^{-1} L$ in X_W^k that support a finite invariant measure, where L is a closed subgroup of G^k such that $\Delta_{[k]}(G) \subset L \subset G^k$. For a partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $[k]$, we set

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(G) := \prod_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta_I(G).$$

Then by Lemma 5.1 proved below, every such orbit is of the form

$$\Gamma_W^k \gamma_{[k]}^{-1} L = \Gamma_W^k \gamma_{[k]}^{-1} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(G) Z \tag{5.11}$$

for some partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $[k]$ and a finite subgroup Z of $Z(G)^k$. We note that the orbit

$$\Gamma_W^k \gamma_{[k]}^{-1} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(G) Z \subset X_{[k]}$$

is again closed, and that

$$\Gamma_W^k \gamma_{[k]}^{-1} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(G) \cong \Gamma'_{W,\mathcal{P}} \backslash G^k,$$

where

$$\Gamma'_{W,\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} \gamma_i \Gamma_W \gamma_i^{-1} \right).$$

Hence, if the partition $\mathcal{P}$ is proper, then

$$\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma_W^k \gamma_{[k]}^{-1} \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(G) Z) \gg \min_{i \neq j} |\Gamma_W : \Gamma_W \cap \gamma_j^{-1} \gamma_i \Gamma_W \gamma_i^{-1} \gamma_j| = \Omega_W(\gamma_{[k]}). \tag{5.12}$$

Now we apply [9, Theorem 1.3]. Let us assume that the parameter $\Omega_W(\gamma_{[k]})$ is sufficiently large, so that it follows from (5.12) that $(X_W)_{[k]} = \Gamma_W^k \backslash G_{[k]}$ is the only orbit with volume less than $\Omega_W(\gamma_{[k]})^{1/2}$. Then, by [9, Theorem 1.3], there exists $\delta_D > 0$ such that for sufficiently large integers d,

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d,[k]}(\xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \leqslant \operatorname{vol}\left(Y(\gamma_{[k]})\right)^{-\delta_D/2} \leqslant \Omega_W(\gamma_{[k]})^{-\delta_D/2}. \tag{5.13}$$

This bound only holds when $\Omega_W(\gamma_{[k]})$ is sufficiently large. However, since $\operatorname{dist}_{d,[k]} \ll_{d,k} 1$ (cf. Lemma 6.2), we clearly have

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d,[k]}(\xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \ll_{d,k} \Omega_W(\gamma_{[k]})^{-\delta_D/2}$$

in general. By Lemma 5.2 proved below,

$$\Omega_W(\gamma_{[k]}) \gg_W \min_{i \neq j} H(\gamma_i^{-1} \gamma_j)^{\theta}. \tag{5.14}$$

We recall that H is defined as the product of the norms $\|\cdot\|_\nu$ which are bi-$\mathbb{G}(O_\nu)$-invariant for almost all ν, so that for all $w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $d \in D$,

$$H(w_1 d w_2) \gg_W H(d).$$

Hence, it follows from (5.5) that

$$\min_{i \neq j} H(\gamma_i^{-1} \gamma_j) \gg_W \min_{i \neq j} H(d_i^{-1} d_j) = q_D.$$

Hence, we deduce from (5.13) that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{d,[k]}(\xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \ll_{d,W,k} q_D^{-\delta_D \theta/2}. \tag{5.15}$$

5.4. **Combining the two cases**

Now combine the estimates (5.9), (5.10), and (5.15) to complete the proof of Theorem 1.7. We stress that $\varepsilon > 0$ so far has been a free variable. However, we note that as long as $\varepsilon < 1$, then the inequality $Q < q^{\varepsilon}$ (Case II) implies that $q = q_D$. Indeed, if

$$Q < q^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad q > q_D,$$

then the latter inequality readily implies that there exists at least one pair (i, j) of different indices such that $\|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{op} > H(d_i^{-1} d_j)$, and thus $Q \geqslant q$, which contradicts the first inequality if $\varepsilon < 1$.

Hence, as long as $\varepsilon < 1$ and $Q < q^{\varepsilon}$, (5.10) and (5.15) together imply that

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \ll_{d,W,k} q^{\varepsilon\sigma} q^{-\delta_D \theta/2} = q^{-(\delta_D\theta/2 - \varepsilon\sigma)}.$$

On the other hand, if $Q \geqslant q^{\varepsilon}$, then (5.9) asserts that

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \ll_{d,k} q^{-\gamma_k \min(\delta_{k-1}, \varepsilon)}.$$

Let us now choose

$$\varepsilon = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\delta_D \theta}{4\sigma}\right\},$$

so that if $Q < q^{\varepsilon}$, then

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \ll_{d,W,k} q^{-\delta_D \theta/4},$$

and if $Q \geqslant q^{\varepsilon}$, then

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \ll_{d,k} q^{-\gamma_k \min(\delta_{k-1}, \frac{\delta_D\theta}{4\sigma})}.$$

If we denote by δ_k the minimum of the two exponents above, then

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \ll_{d,W,k} q^{-\delta_k}.$$

Finally, we observe that by Lemma 4.1(iii), there exists $c \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{op} \gg H(g_i^{-1} g_j)^c$$

for all i, j. Since $H \gg 1$ on G and on D, and $H((g, d)) = H(g)H(d)$ for all $g \in G$ and $d \in D$, we deduce that

$$\max(\|g_i^{-1} g_j\|_{op}, H(d_i^{-1} d_j)) \gg \max(H(g_i^{-1} g_j)^c, H(d_i^{-1} d_j)) \gg H((g_i, d_i)^{-1}(g_j, d_j))^{c/2}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\mathrm{dist}_{d,[k]}(g_{[k]}^{-1} \cdot \xi, (m_W)_{[k]}) \ll_{d,W,k} \mathfrak{H}((g_{[k]}, d_{[k]}))^{-\delta_k c/2}.$$

Because of (5.6), this finishes the proof of Theorem 1.7, modulo Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2.

5.5. **Intermediate subgroups**

We prove the description of the intermediate orbits stated in (5.11).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k$ be irreducible lattices in G and $X_{[k]} = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k$ where $X_i = \Gamma_i \backslash G$. Suppose that L is an immersed subgroup of G^k containing the diagonal $\Delta_{[k]}(G)$ such that for some $x_{[k]} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X_{[k]}$, the orbit $x_{[k]}L$ in $X_{[k]}$ supports a finite invariant measure. Then*

$$x_{[k]}L = x_{[k]}\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(G)Z$$

for some partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $[k]$ and a finite subgroup Z of the centre $Z(G)^k$.

We note that since $\mathbb{G}$ is simply connected and isotropic over F_ν for $\nu \in S$, by the Strong Approximation Theorem [34, §7.4], Γ_W is an irreducible lattice in G, so that this lemma is applicable in our case.

Proof. By [34, §7.2], every normal subgroup of $G_\nu = \mathbb{G}(K_\nu)$ for $\nu \in S$, is central. We may replace G by $G/Z(G)$ and Γ_i by $\Gamma_i Z(G)/Z(G)$ and carry out the proof when the centre is trivial. To simplify our presentation, we abuse notation and assume that $Z(G) = \{e\}$. Using that G_ν's are non-commutative and simple, it is easy to deduce that every normal subgroup of G is of the form $\prod_{\nu\in S'} G_\nu$ for some $S' \subset S$. Moreover, any normal subgroup N of G^k is of the form

$$N = N_1 \times \cdots \times N_k, \tag{5.16}$$

where $N_i = \prod_{\nu\in S_i} G_\nu$ for some $S_i \subset S$. We note that if N is such a subgroup, it follows from irreducibility of lattices Γ_i that

$$\overline{x_{[k]}N} \supset x_{[k]}G_I, \tag{5.17}$$

where $I = \{i : S_i \neq \emptyset\}$.

We note that the argument of [35, Th. 1.13] can be extended to immersed subgroups (namely, to subgroups given by continuous embeddings $L \to G^k$), and since the orbit $x_{[k]}L$ supports finite L-invariant measure, it follows that $x_{[k]}L$ is closed in $X_{[k]}$.

We say that x_i is commensurable with x_j if the subgroups $\mathrm{Stab}_G(x_i)$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_G(x_j)$ are commensurable. Suppose that $L \subset \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ for some proper partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $[k]$ such that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}$, the points x_i, $i \in I$, are commensurable. Then

$$x_{[k]}\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(G) \simeq \prod_{I\in\mathcal{P}} \Gamma_I\backslash G,$$

where $\Gamma_I = \cap_{i\in I}\mathrm{Stab}_G(x_i)$ are irreducible lattices in G. Hence, in this case we can reduce the number of factors, so that, without loss of generality, we may assume that such partition does not exists.

We claim that under this assumption, $x_{[k]}L = X_{[k]}$ and proceed by induction on k. The statement is clear when $k = 1$. We consider the decomposition $G^k = G^{k-1} \times G$. Let L_1 and L_2 denote the projections of L to each of the factors. Since $\Delta_{[k]}(G) \subset L$, it clear that $L_2 = G$. Suppose that $(l_1, l_2), (l_1, l_2') \in L$ for some $l_1 \in L_1$ and $l_2 \neq l_2' \in G$. Then $(e, l_2^{-1}l_2') \in L$, and since $\Delta_{[k]}(G) \subset L$, we deduce that $\{e\} \times N \subset L$ for some non-trivial normal subgroup N of G. As we observed above, $N = \prod_{\nu\in S'} G_\nu$ for some non-empty $S' \subset S$. Since the orbit $x_{[k]}L$ is closed, we deduce from (5.17) that

$$x_{[k]}L = x_{[k]}L(\{e\} \times G) = x_{[k]}(L_1 \times G).$$

Hence, in this case our analysis reduces to understanding finite-volume orbits in the smaller space $X_{[k-1]}$, so that the claim follows from the inductive hypothesis.

Now we suppose that for every $l_1 \in L_1$, there exists unique $l_2 \in L_2 = G$ such that (l_1, l_2) belongs to L. In other words, we assume that there exists a surjective map $\phi : L_1 \to G$ such that $L = \{(l, \phi(l)) : l \in L_1\}$. It follows from uniqueness that ϕ is a homomorphism, and that

$$\phi(g, \dots, g) = g \quad \text{for all } g \in G. \tag{5.18}$$

We observe that the orbit $x_{[k-1]}L_1$ in $X_{[k-1]}$ supports a finite invariant measure, which is the push-forward of the finite invariant measure on $x_{[k]}L$. Hence, we can apply the inductive assumption to $x_{[k-1]}L_1$ to deduce that $x_{[k-1]}L_1 = x_{[k-1]}G^{k-1}$. This implies that the subgroup

L_1 is open in G^{k-1}. Since $\mathbb{G}$ is simply connected, $G = \prod_{v\in S}\mathbb{G}(F_v)$ is connected (see [34, Prop. 7.6]), so that $L_1 = G^{k-1}$. We have shown that

$$L = \{(g, \phi(g)) : g \in G^{k-1}\},$$

where $\phi : G^{k-1} \to G$ is a surjective homomorphism.

Let N be the kernel of ϕ. Using (5.18), we deduce that N is non-trivial unless $k = 2$. Moreover, if N is trivial, it follows from (5.18) that $L = \Delta_{[2]}(G)$, so that the lemma holds. Hence, we can suppose that $N \neq \{e\}$. The subgroup N is normal in G^{k-1}, so that it is of the form (5.16). In particular, it follows that there exists a closed normal subgroup M of G^{k-1} commuting with N such that $G^{k-1} = MN$ and $M \cap N = \{e\}$. Hence,

$$L = \{(nm, \phi(m)) : n \in N, m \in M\} = (N \times \{e\})\{(m, \phi(m)) : m \in M\}.$$

Let I be the subset of $[k-1]$ such that $N_i \neq \{e\}$ for $i \in I$. Then since the orbit $x_{[k]}L$ is closed, and the lattices Γ_i are irreducible in G, it follows that

$$x_{[k]}L = x_{[k]}L\left(\prod_{i\in I} G\right).$$

Hence, if $I \neq \emptyset$, we can complete the proof by induction. □

5.6. **Volume estimates**

The aim of this subsection is to prove the estimate for $\Omega_W(\gamma)$ that we used in (5.14).

Lemma 5.2. *There exists $\theta > 0$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$,*

$$\Omega_W(\gamma) \gg_W H(\gamma)^\theta.$$

Proof. We first observe that $\Omega_W(\gamma)$ can be interpreted in terms of volumes of suitable subsets of D. We recall that D is the restricted product of $\mathbb{G}(F_v)$, $v \in \mathcal{V}_F^f$. We denote ν_D the invariant measure on D which is the product of invariant measures ν_v on $\mathbb{G}(F_v)$ such that $\nu_v(\mathbb{G}(O_v)) = 1$ for almost all v. We normalise ν_D so that $\nu_D(W) = 1$. Then since the projection of Γ to D is dense,

$$\Omega_W(\gamma) = |W : W \cap \gamma W\gamma^{-1}| = \nu_D(W\gamma W).$$

We claim that there exists $\theta > 0$ such that for every $d \in D$

$$\nu_D(WdW) \gg_W H(d)^\theta. \tag{5.19}$$

This will imply the lemma.

For almost all places v, the group $K_v = \mathbb{G}(O_v)$ is a hyperspecial maximal compact open subgroup of $\mathbb{G}(F_v)$ (see [39]). For the other places $v \in \mathcal{V}_F^f$, we fix a good maximal compact open subgroup K_v of $\mathbb{G}(F_v)$. Let $K = \prod_{v\in\mathcal{V}_F^f} K_v$. Then K is a compact open subgroup of D, so that it is commensurable with W, and we have

$$\nu_D(WdW) \gg_W \nu_D(KdK).$$

Now it will be convenient to normalise the measures ν_v on $\mathbb{G}(F_v)$ so that $\nu_v(K_v) = 1$. We claim that there exists $\theta > 0$ such that for every $d_v \in \mathbb{G}(F_v)$,

$$\nu_v(K_v d_v K_v) \gg_v \|d_v\|_v^\theta, \tag{5.20}$$

and moreover for almost all ν,

$$\nu_\nu(K_\nu d_\nu K_\nu) \geqslant \|d_\nu\|_\nu^\theta. \tag{5.21}$$

Since H is defined as a product of the norms $\|\cdot\|_\nu$, this will imply (5.19).

We recall the Cartan decomposition $\mathbb{G}(F_\nu) = K_\nu A_\nu^+ \Omega_\nu K_\nu$ introduced in (4.3). For almost all ν, the group $\mathbb{G}$ is quasi-split over F and split over unramified extension of F. In this case, we have the Cartan decomposition with $\Omega_\nu = \{e\}$. For $d_\nu = k_1 a_\nu \omega k_2 \in K_\nu A_\nu^+ \Omega_\nu K_\nu$,

$$\nu_\nu(K_\nu d_\nu K_\nu) = \nu_\nu(K_\nu a_\nu \omega K_\nu) \geqslant \nu_\nu(K_\nu a_\nu K_\nu') \gg_\nu \nu_\nu(K_\nu a_\nu K_\nu),$$

where $K_\nu' = \cap_{\omega \in \Omega_\nu \cup \{e\}} \omega K_\nu \omega^{-1}$ is a compact open subgroup of K_ν, and

$$\|d_\nu\|_\nu \ll_\nu \|a_\nu\|_\nu.$$

Moreover, for almost all ν,

$$K_\nu d_\nu K_\nu = K_\nu a_\nu K_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \|d_\nu\|_\nu = \|a_\nu\|_\nu.$$

Hence, it is sufficient to prove (5.20) and (5.21) when $d_\nu = a_\nu \in A_\nu^+$.

Let Δ_ν denotes the product of all positive roots of $\mathbb{A}_\nu$. It follows from [25, 3.2.15] that if K_ν is a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $\mathbb{G}(F_\nu)$, then

$$\nu_\nu(K_\nu a_\nu K_\nu) \geqslant |\Delta_\nu(a_\nu)|_\nu, \quad \text{for } a_\nu \in A_\nu^+. \tag{5.22}$$

In particular, this bound holds for almost all places ν. For the other places ν, we also have

$$\nu_\nu(K_\nu a_\nu K_\nu) \gg_\nu |\Delta_\nu(a_\nu)|_\nu, \quad \text{for } a_\nu \in A_\nu^+. \tag{5.23}$$

On the other hand, we recall from the proof of Lemma 4.1(iii) that for all $a_\nu \in A_\nu^+$,

$$\|a_\nu\|_\nu \ll_\nu \max_{\psi \in \Phi_\nu^{\mathrm{dom}}} |\psi(a_\nu)|_\nu$$

and there exists $\theta' > 0$ such that

$$\max_{\psi \in \Phi_\nu^{\mathrm{dom}}} |\psi(a_\nu)|_\nu \leqslant \left(\max_{\psi \in \Pi_\nu} |\alpha(a_\nu)|_\nu \right)^{\theta'}.$$

Hence, combining this estimate with (5.23), we deduce (5.20). Further, by [36, Lemma 6.4], which also extends to reducible representations, we obtain that for almost all places ν,

$$\|a_\nu\|_\nu = \max_{\psi \in \Phi_\nu^{\mathrm{dom}}} |\psi(a_\nu)|_\nu$$

for all $a_\nu \in A_\nu^+$, so that (5.21) follows from (5.22). This completes the proof of the lemma. □

6. Wasserstein distances on couplings

6.1. **Wasserstein distances**

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_c(X)$ the space of continuous functions on X with compact supports, equipped with the topology of uniform convergence, and we write $\mathcal{P}(X)$ for the space of Borel probability measures on X, which we shall think of as non-negative elements in the dual space $\mathcal{C}_o(X)^*$.

Given a linear subspace $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_c(X)$ and a norm M on $\mathcal{A}$, we define the *Wasserstein distance* dist_M on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by

$$\mathrm{dist}_M(\mu, \nu) := \sup \left\{ |\mu(\phi) - \nu(\phi)| \,:\, \phi \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } M(\phi) \leqslant 1 \right\}$$

for $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. We see that this is indeed a distance (metric) if $\mathcal{A}$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}_c(X)$, otherwise it is only a semi-distance (semi-metric). The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 6.1. *If* $M \leqslant E\,N$ *for a constant* $E > 0$, *then* $\mathrm{dist}_N \leqslant E\ \mathrm{dist}_M$.

We say that a norm M on $\mathcal{A}$ is *uniform* if there exists a constant $F > 0$ such that

$$\|\phi\|_\infty \leqslant F\,M(\phi) \qquad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{A},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the uniform norm on $\mathcal{C}_c(X)$. Throughout this paper, all norms that we shall consider will be assumed to be uniform.

If the linear subspace $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_c(X)$ in addition is closed under multiplication, that is to say, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}_c(X)$, and M and N are *uniform* norms on $\mathcal{A}$, then we write $M \preceq N$ if there exist constant $D_1, D_2 > 0$ such that

$$M(\phi_1) \leqslant D_1\,N(\phi_1) \quad \text{and} \quad M(\phi_1 \cdot \phi_2) \leqslant D_2\,N(\phi_1)N(\phi_2), \quad \text{for all } \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{A}.$$

6.2. **Projective tensor product norms**

Let us now assume that X_1 and X_2 are locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and fix subalgebras

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_c(X_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{C}_c(X_2).$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ denote the (algebraic) tensor product of the algebras $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$, i.e. the subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}_c(X_1 \times X_2)$ which consists of functions which are finite sums of the form

$$\sum_i (\phi_{1i} \otimes \phi_{2i})(x_1, x_2), \qquad \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2,$$

where ϕ_{1i} and ϕ_{2i} are elements of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ respectively. If M_1 and M_2 are norms on $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ respectively, we define the *projective tensor product norm* (or *maximal cross-norm*) $M_1 \otimes M_2$ on the algebra $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ by

$$(M_1 \otimes M_2)(\phi) := \inf\left\{ \sum_i M_1(\phi_{1i})M_2(\phi_{2i}) \,:\, \phi = \sum_i \phi_{1i} \otimes \phi_{2i} \ \text{ with } \phi_{1i} \in \mathcal{A}_1 \text{ and } \phi_{2i} \in \mathcal{A}_2 \right\}.$$

If M_1, N_1 and M_2, N_2 are *uniform* norms on $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ respectively, then so are the projective tensor product norms $M_1 \otimes M_2$ and $N_1 \otimes N_2$, and the following useful lemma holds.

Lemma 6.2. *If* $M_1 \preceq N_1$ *and* $M_2 \preceq N_2$, *then* $M_1 \otimes M_2 \preceq N_1 \otimes N_2$.

Proof. Pick $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ with $(N_1 \otimes N_2)(\phi) = (N_1 \otimes N_2)(\psi) = 1$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By the definition of the maximal cross-norm, we can find finite collections $a_k, c_l \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $b_k, d_l \in \mathcal{A}_2$ such that

$$\phi = \sum_k a_k \otimes b_k \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \varepsilon \geqslant \sum_k N_1(a_k)N_2(b_k),$$

and

$$\psi = \sum_l c_l \otimes d_l \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \varepsilon \geqslant \sum_l N_1(c_l)N_2(d_l).$$

We see that

$$1 = (N_1 \otimes N_2)(\phi)\,(N_1 \otimes N_2)(\psi) \geqslant \sum_{k,l} N_1(a_k)N_1(c_l)N_2(b_k)N_2(d_l) - 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2.$$

Since $M_1 \preceq N_1$ and $M_2 \preceq N_2$, the double sum above is bounded (up to a constant) from below by

$$\sum_{k,l} M_1(a_k c_l) M_2(b_k d_l)$$

which (by definition of $M_1 \otimes M_2$ as an infimum) is further bounded from below by

$$(M_1 \otimes M_2)\left(\left(\sum_k a_k \otimes b_k\right) \cdot \left(\sum_l c_l \otimes d_l\right)\right) = (M_1 \otimes M_2)(\phi \cdot \psi).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(M_1 \otimes M_2)(\phi \cdot \psi) \leqslant C,$$

whenever $(N_1 \otimes N_2)(\phi) = (N_1 \otimes N_2)(\psi) = 1$, which finishes the proof. □

7. General coupling estimates

In this section we prove a general estimate for measures supported on product spaces (Proposition 7.2) which includes Proposition 2.9 from Section 2 as a special case. This result has been used in the proofs in Sections 2 and 4. We work in a more abstract setting which we now introduce.

7.1. **Notation**

Let (X_1, ρ_1) and (X_2, ρ_2) be locally compact metric spaces, and fix two subalgebras

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_c(X_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{C}_c(X_2)$$

of Lipschitz continuous functions on (X_1, ρ_1) and (X_2, ρ_2) respectively. Let (M_1, N_1) and (M_2, N_2) be two pairs of uniform norms on $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ such that

$$M_i \preceq N_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Lip}_{\rho_i}(\phi_i) \ll M_i(\phi_i), \qquad \text{for all } \phi_i \in \mathcal{A}_i, \tag{7.1}$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where Lip_{ρ_i} denotes the usual Lipschitz semi-norm with respect to the metric ρ_i.

Let m_1 and m_2 be Borel probability measures on X_1 and X_2 respectively, and fix a Borel probability measure η on the direct product $X_1 \times X_2$, with marginals η_1 and η_2. Suppose that there exist jointly continuous $\mathbb{K}$-actions (here $\mathbb{K}$ is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$)

$$h_i : \mathbb{K} \times X_i \to X_i, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

which preserve the measures m_1 and m_2 respectively, such that the diagonal flow

$$h(t) \cdot (x_1, x_2) = (h_1(t) \cdot x_1, h_2(t) \cdot x_2), \qquad \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2 \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{K}, \tag{7.2}$$

preserves the measure η. One readily checks that h_i preserves η_i, for $i = 1, 2$, as well. We further assume that the flows h_i preserve the algebras $\mathcal{A}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Our goal in this section is to provide an upper bound (Proposition 7.2 below) on the Wasserstein distance $\mathrm{dist}_{N_1 \otimes N_2}(\eta, m_1 \otimes m_2)$ in terms of the Wasserstein distances

$$\mathrm{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{dist}_{M_2}(\eta_2, m_2),$$

under the following three assumptions on the flows h_1 and h_2 (cf. Lemmas 2.6, 2.7, 2.8):

- (*Polynomial growth w.r.t.* N_1) There exist constants $A \geqslant 1$ and $a > 0$ such that

$$N_1(h_1(t) \cdot \phi_1) \leqslant A \max(1, |t|)^a \, N_1(\phi_1), \tag{7.3}$$

  for all $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $t \in \mathbb{K}$.
- (*Polynomial rate of mixing w.r.t.* m_1) There are $B > 0$ and $0 < w_1 \leqslant 1$ and $0 < b < 1/2$ such that

$$|m_1((h_1(t) \cdot \phi_1)\phi_1) - m_1(\phi_1)^2| \leqslant B \max(1, w_1|t|)^{-b} \, N_1(\phi_1)^2, \tag{7.4}$$

  and for all $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $t \in \mathbb{K}$.
- (*Lipschitz continuity for* h_2) There are $C > 0$ and $0 < w_2 \leqslant 1$ such that

$$\|h_2(t) \cdot \phi_2 - \phi_2\|_\infty \leqslant C \, w_2|t| \, N_2(\phi_2), \tag{7.5}$$

  for all $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ and $t \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $|t| \leqslant w_2^{-1}$.

Remark 7.1. We recall (upon retaining the notation from Section 2.5) that we have indeed verified these assumptions for the flows h_I and h_J defined in (2.23) and (2.24), with respect to the Sobolev norms $N_1 = \mathcal{S}_{d+r,I}$ and $N_2 = \mathcal{S}_{d+r,J}$ for sufficiently large d and r such that Properties N1–N4 hold (see Lemmas 2.6, 2.7, and 2.8). These assumptions also holds for the flows h_I and h_J appearing in Section 4.3.

7.2. **The main estimate**

The following result generalizes Proposition 2.9. Its proof will occupy the rest of this section.

Proposition 7.2. *With the notation and assumptions above, we have*

$$\mathrm{dist}_{N_1 \otimes N_2}(\eta, m_1 \otimes m_2) \ll \max\big((\mathcal{M}|V(T)|^a)^{1/2}, (w_1 T)^{-b/2}, w_2 T\big), \tag{7.6}$$

for all $T \in [w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}]$, *where*

$$V(T) := \{t \in \mathbb{K} : |t| \leqslant T\},$$
$$\mathcal{M} := \max\big(\mathrm{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1), \mathrm{dist}_{M_2}(\eta_2, m_2)\big),$$

and the implied constant only depends on A, B *and* C, *and on the norms* M_1, M_2, N_1 *and* N_2. *In particular, the bound* (7.6) *is uniform over all couplings* η *of* η_1 *and* η_2 *which are invariant under the flow* h.

We retain the notation from the beginning of this section, and assume that (7.3), (7.4) and (7.5) hold. In particular, the letters A, a, B, b, C and w_1, w_2 have been assigned fixed meanings.

For $T > 0$, we define the linear, positive and unital operator $P_T : \mathcal{C}_c(X_1) \to \mathcal{C}_c(X_1)$ by

$$(P_T \phi_1)(x_1) := \frac{1}{|V(T)|} \int_{V(T)} (h_1(t) \cdot \phi_1)(x_1) \, dt, \qquad \text{for } \phi_1 \in \mathcal{C}_c(X_1),$$

where $V(T) = \{t \in \mathbb{K} : |t| \leqslant T\}$. We note that P_T preserves $\mathcal{C}_c(X_1)$, however we stress that it may *not* preserve the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_1$. If we denote by P_T^* its adjoint on $\mathcal{C}_c(X_1)^*$, then, since the flow h_1 preserves the measures η_1 and m_1, we have

$$P_T^* \eta_1 = \eta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad P_T^* m_1 = m_1.$$

The triangle inequality for $\operatorname{dist}_{N_1\otimes N_2}$ now yields

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{dist}_{N_1\otimes N_2}(\eta, m_1\otimes m_2) \leqslant\ & \operatorname{dist}_{N_1\otimes N_2}(\eta, (P_T\otimes \mathrm{id})^*\eta) && \text{(I)}\\ & + \operatorname{dist}_{N_1\otimes N_2}((P_T\otimes \mathrm{id})^*\eta, \eta_1\otimes\eta_2) && \text{(II)}\\ & + \operatorname{dist}_{N_1\otimes N_2}(\eta_1\otimes\eta_2, m_1\otimes m_2). && \text{(III)}\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we shall provide bounds on each term. These bounds will readily combine to the bound which is asserted in Proposition 7.2.

7.3. **Estimating Term (I)**

Lemma 7.3. *For all $T \in (0, w_2^{-1}]$, we have*

$$\operatorname{dist}_{N_1\otimes N_2}(\eta, (P_T\otimes \mathrm{id})^*\eta) \ll C\, w_2 T,$$

where the implied constant depends only on the norm N_1.

Proof. Pick $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_1\otimes\mathcal{A}_2$, and write it as a finite sum of the form

$$\phi = \sum_i \phi_{1i}\otimes\phi_{2i}, \tag{7.7}$$

for some $\phi_{1i}\in\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\phi_{2i}\in\mathcal{A}_2$. Since the flow h (defined in (7.2)) preserves the measure η, we have

$$\eta(\phi) = \sum_i \eta\left(\frac{1}{|V(T)|}\int_{V(T)} (h_1(t)\cdot\phi_{1i})\otimes(h_2(t)\cdot\phi_{2i})\,dt\right),$$

for all $T>0$. Note that

$$\eta((P_T\otimes\mathrm{id})\phi) = \sum_i \eta\left(\frac{1}{|V(T)|}\int_{V(T)} (h_1(t)\cdot\phi_{1i})\otimes\phi_{2i}\,dt\right),$$

and thus we see that

$$\eta(\phi) - \eta((P_T\otimes\mathrm{id})\phi) = \sum_i \eta\left(\frac{1}{|V(T)|}\int_{V(T)} (h_1(t)\cdot\phi_{1i})\otimes(h_2(t)\cdot\phi_{2i}-\phi_{2i})\,dt\right).$$

Hence,

$$|\eta(\phi) - \eta((P_T\otimes\mathrm{id})\phi)| \leqslant \sum_i \|\phi_{1i}\|_\infty \cdot \max_{|t|\leqslant T}\|h_2(t)\cdot\phi_{2i}-\phi_{2i}\|_\infty.$$

Since N_1 is assumed to be uniform, we have $\|\phi_{1i}\|_\infty \ll N_1(\phi_{1i})$ for all i, and by (7.5) we have

$$\max_{|t|\leqslant T}\|h_2(t)\cdot\phi_{2i}-\phi_{2i}\|_\infty \leqslant C\, w_2 T\, N_2(\phi_{2i}),$$

for every i. Thus, we conclude that

$$|\eta(\phi) - \eta((P_T\otimes\mathrm{id})\phi)| \ll C\, w_2 T\left(\sum_i N_1(\phi_{1i})N_2(\phi_{2i})\right),$$

where the implied constant depends only on N_1. Upon taking the infimum over all representations of ϕ as a finite sum as in (7.7), we see that

$$|\eta(\phi) - \eta((P_T\otimes\mathrm{id})\phi)| \ll C\, w_2 T\,(N_1\otimes N_2)(\phi),$$

which finishes the proof. □

7.4. **Estimating Term (II)**

Lemma 7.4. *For all* $T \geqslant w_1^{-1}$, *we have*

$$\operatorname{dist}_{N_1\otimes N_2}((P_T\otimes \mathrm{id})^*\eta, \eta_1\otimes\eta_2) \ll \max\left(\sqrt{A}\,|V(T)|^{a/2}\operatorname{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1,m_1)^{1/2}, B\,(w_1T)^{-b/2}\right),$$

where the implied constant depends only on the norms M_1, N_1 *and* N_2.

The proof of this lemma will require some new notation. Given a probability measure ν on the space X_1, assumed to be invariant under h_1, we define

$$E_T(\nu) := \sup\left\{\left(\int_{X_1}|P_T\phi_1-\nu(\phi_1)|^2\,d\nu\right)^{1/2} :\ \phi_1\in\mathcal{A}_1 \ \text{ with } N_1(\phi_1)\leqslant 1\right\},$$

for $T>0$. This expression can also be written in a more convenient form as follows. Given a function $\phi_1\in\mathcal{A}_1$ and an h_1-invariant Borel probability measure ν on X_1, we define

$$C_{\nu,\phi_1}(t) = \nu((h_1(t)\cdot\phi_1)\phi_1) - \nu(\phi_1)^2 \qquad \text{for } t\in\mathbb{K}.$$

Upon expanding $E_T(\nu)^2$, using that ν is h_1-invariant, one readily sees that

$$E_T(\nu)^2 = \sup\left\{\frac{1}{|V(T)|^2}\int_{V(T)}\int_{V(T)} \mathcal{C}_{\nu,\phi_1}(s-t)\,ds\,dt :\ \phi_1\in\mathcal{A}_1 \ \text{ with } N_1(\phi_1)\leqslant 1\right\}. \tag{7.8}$$

Lemma 7.4 is an immediate consequence of the Lemmas 7.5, 7.6, and 7.9 that we now prove.

Lemma 7.5. *For all* $T>0$, *we have*

$$\operatorname{dist}_{N_1\otimes N_2}((P_T\otimes\mathrm{id})^*\eta,\eta_1\otimes\eta_2) \ll E_T(\eta_1), \tag{7.9}$$

where the implied constant depends only on the norm N_2.

Proof. Pick $\phi\in\mathcal{A}_1\otimes A_2$ and write it as a finite sum of the form

$$\phi = \sum_i \phi_{1i}\otimes\phi_{2i}, \tag{7.10}$$

for some $\phi_{1i}\in\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\phi_{2i}\in\mathcal{A}_2$. By definition,

$$\eta(\psi\otimes c\cdot 1) = \eta_1(\psi)c, \qquad \text{for all } \psi\in\mathcal{A}_1 \text{ and } c\in\mathbb{R}.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\eta((P_T\otimes\mathrm{id})\phi) - (\eta_1\otimes\eta_2)(\phi)\right| &\leqslant \sum_i \eta\left(|P_T\phi_{1i}-\eta_1(\phi_{1i})|\otimes|\phi_{2i}|\right)\\
&\leqslant \sum_i \eta\left(|P_T\phi_{1i}-\eta_1(\phi_{1i})|\otimes\|\phi_{2i}\|_\infty\cdot 1\right)\\
&= \sum_i \eta_1\left(|P_T\phi_{1i}-\eta_1(\phi_{1i})|\right)\,\|\phi_{2i}\|_\infty\\
&\leqslant \sum_i \eta_1\left(|P_T\phi_{1i}-\eta_1(\phi_{1i})|^2\right)^{1/2}\,\|\phi_{2i}\|_\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Hölder's inequality termwise. Hence, since N_2 is a uniform norm,

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\eta((P_T\otimes \mathrm{id})\phi)-(\eta_1\otimes\eta_2)(\phi)\big| &\ll \sum_i \eta_1\left(|P_T\phi_{1i}-\eta_1(\phi_{1i})|^2\right)^{1/2} N_2(\phi_{2i})\\ &\leqslant E_T(\eta_1)\left(\sum_i N_1(\phi_{1i})\,N_2(\phi_{2i})\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends only N_2. Thus, taking the infimum over all representations of ϕ as a finite sum as in (7.10), we get

$$\big|\eta((P_T\otimes \mathrm{id})\phi)-(\eta_1\otimes\eta_2)(\phi)\big| \ll E_T(\eta_1)\,(N_1\otimes N_2)(\phi).$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 7.6. *For all $T\geqslant 1$, we have*

$$|E_T(\eta_1)-E_T(m_1)| \ll \sqrt{A}\,|V(T)|^{a/2}\,\mathrm{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1,m_1)^{1/2}, \tag{7.11}$$

where the implied constant depends only on the norms M_1 and N_1.

Remark 7.7. Note the change of norms in Lemma 7.6: The expression $E_T(\cdot)$ is defined using the norm N_1 on $\mathcal{A}_1$, while the asserted bound is in terms of the Wasserstein distance measured with respect to the norm M_1. This is the only place in our argument where it is necessary to change the norm.

Proof. Since $t-s\leqslant\sqrt{t^2-s^2}$ for all $0<s<t$, we have

$$|E_T(\eta_1)-E_T(m_1)| \leqslant \sqrt{|E_T(\eta)^2-E_T(m_1)^2|}. \tag{7.12}$$

Fix $\varepsilon>0$ and $T\geqslant 1$. We use (7.8), and pick $\phi_1\in\mathcal{A}_1$ with $N_1(\phi_1)\leqslant 1$ such that

$$E_T(\eta_1)^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{|V(T)|^2}\int_{V(T)}\int_{V(T)} \mathcal{C}_{\eta_1,\phi_1}(s-t)\,ds\,dt+\varepsilon.$$

We note that

$$E_T(\eta_1)^2-E_T(m_1)^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{|V(T)|^2}\int_{V(T)}\int_{V(T)} \big(\mathcal{C}_{\eta_1,\phi_1}(s-t)-\mathcal{C}_{m_1,\phi_1}(s-t)\big)\,ds\,dt+\varepsilon.$$

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{\eta_1,\phi_1}(u)-\mathcal{C}_{m_1,\phi_1}(u) =&\big(\eta_1((h_1(u)\cdot\phi_1)\phi_1)-m_1((h_1(u)\cdot\phi_1)\phi_1)\big) &(\clubsuit)\\ &+\big(m_1(\phi_1)^2-\eta_1(\phi_1)^2\big). &(\spadesuit)\end{aligned}$$

We bound the terms ♣ and ♠ separately. Since $N_1(\phi_1)\leqslant 1$ and N_1 is uniform, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\spadesuit &= (m_1(\phi_1)-\eta_1(\phi_1))(m_1(\phi_1)+\eta_1(\phi_1))\\ &\ll \mathrm{dist}_{N_1}(\eta_1,m_1)\,2\|\phi_1\|_\infty \ll \mathrm{dist}_{N_1}(\eta_1,m_1),\end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant depends only on N_1. Then since $M_1\preceq N_1$, by Lemma 6.1,

$$\spadesuit \ll \mathrm{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1,m_1) \tag{7.13}$$

with the implicit constant depending only on M_1 and N_1.

To estimate the term ♣, we recall that by assumption (7.3),

$$N_1(h_1(u)\cdot\phi_1)\leqslant A\max(1,|u|)^a\,N_1(\phi_1),\quad \text{for all } u\in\mathbb{K},$$

Hence, since $M_1 \preceq N_1$ and $N_1(\phi_1) \leqslant 1$, we conclude that for all $u \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\clubsuit &\leqslant \operatorname{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1)\, M_1((h_1(u)\cdot\phi_1)\phi_1)\\ &\ll \operatorname{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1)\, N_1(h_1(u)\cdot\phi_1) N_1(\phi_1)\\ &\leqslant A\ \max(1,|u|)^{\alpha}\ \operatorname{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1),\end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends only on M_1 and N_1. We use that by Lemma 7.8 below

$$\int_{V(T)}\int_{V(T)} \max(1,|s-t|)^{\alpha}\, ds dt \ll |V(T)|^{\alpha+2}$$

for all $T \geqslant 1$.

Hence, upon combining the above estimates for $\clubsuit$ and $\spadesuit$, we deduce (since $A \geqslant 1$) that for all $T \geqslant 1$, we have

$$E_T(\eta_1)^2 - E_T(m_1)^2 \ll A\,|V(T)|^{\alpha}\ \operatorname{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1) + \varepsilon,$$

where the implicit constant depend only on M_1 and N_1. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we can neglect it and conclude that

$$E_T(\eta_1)^2 - E_T(m_1)^2 \ll A\,|V(T)|^{\alpha} \operatorname{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1).$$

The same argument can be made with roles of η_1 and m_1 interchanged. Hence,

$$|E_T(\eta_1)^2 - E_T(m_1)^2| \ll A\,|V(T)|^{\alpha} \operatorname{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1).$$

Now the lemma follows from (7.12). □

Lemma 7.8. *Let* $T \geqslant 1$ *if* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ *and* $T \geqslant 1/p$ *if* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$. *Then*

$$I(T) := \int_{V(T)}\int_{V(T)} \max(1,|s-t|)^{\alpha}\, ds dt \ll |V(T)|^{\alpha+2}$$

uniformly over $\alpha > -1/2$.

Proof. First, we consider the case when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. By a standard change of variables,

$$I(T) = \int_0^{2T} (2T-u)\max(1,u)^{\alpha}\, du$$

A direct computation shows that for all $T \geqslant 1$,

$$\int_0^{2T} (2T-u)\max(1,u)^{\alpha}\, du = \frac{(2T)^{\alpha+2}}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)} - \frac{2\alpha T}{\alpha+1} - \frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha+2)}.$$

Hence, $I(T) = O(|V(T)|^{\alpha+2})$ uniformly over $\alpha > -1/2$.

When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(p^n) &= \sum_{s,t=0}^{p^n-1} \max(1, p^n|s-t|)^{\alpha} = p^n \sum_{u=0}^{p^n-1} \max(1,p^n|u|)^{\alpha}\\ &= p^n((p^n - p^{n-1})p^{n\alpha} + (p^{n-1}-p^{n-2})p^{(n-1)\alpha} + \cdots + (p-1)p^{\alpha} + 1)\\ &\ll (p^n)^{\alpha+2} = |V(p^n)|^{\alpha+2}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 7.9. *For all $T \geqslant w_1^{-1}$, we have*

$$E_T(m_1) \ll \sqrt{B}\,(w_1 T)^{-b/2}, \tag{7.14}$$

where the implied constant is uniform.

Proof. By (7.8), it suffices to show that for every $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ with $N_1(\phi_1) \leqslant 1$ and for all $T \geqslant w_1^{-1}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|V(T)|^2} \int_{V(T)} \int_{V(T)} \mathcal{C}_{m_1,\phi_1}(s-t)\,ds dt \ll B\,(w_1 T)^{-b}. \tag{7.15}$$

By assumption (7.4), for all $u \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$|C_{m_1,\phi_1}(u)| \leqslant B\,\max(1, w_1|u|)^{-b}\,N_1(\phi_1)^2,$$

so that we obtain

$$\int_{V(T)} \int_{V(T)} \mathcal{C}_{m_1,\phi_1}(s-t)\,ds dt \leqslant B \int_{V(T)} \int_{V(T)} \max(1, w_1|s-t|)^{-b}\,ds dt.$$

When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, a simple change of variables gives

$$\int_{V(T)} \int_{V(T)} \max(1, w_1|s-t|)^{-b}\,ds dt = w_1^{-2} \int_{V(w_1 T)} \int_{V(w_1 T)} \max(1, |s-t|)^{-b}\,ds dt,$$

and estimate (7.15) follows directly from Lemma 7.8.

When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$, we pick $i \geqslant 1$ such that $p^{-i} \leqslant w_1 \leqslant p^{-i+1}$, and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{V(T)} \int_{V(T)} \max(1, w_1|s-t|)^{-b}\,ds dt &\leqslant \int_{V(T)} \int_{V(T)} \max(1, p^{-i}|s-t|)^{-b}\,ds dt \\ &= p^{2i} \int_{V(Tp^{-i})} \int_{V(Tp^{-i})} \max(1, |s-t|)^{-b}\,ds dt.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (7.15) follows from Lemma 7.8. □

7.5. **Estimating Term (III)**

Lemma 7.10. *For all Borel probability measures η_1 and m_1 on X_1 and η_2 and m_2 on X_2, we have*

$$\mathrm{dist}_{N_1 \otimes N_2}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2, m_1 \otimes m_2) \ll \max(\mathrm{dist}_{N_1}(\eta_1, m_1), \mathrm{dist}_{N_2}(\eta_2, m_2)),$$

where the implied constant depends only on the norms N_1 and N_2.

Proof. Pick $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$, and write it as a finite sum of the form

$$\phi = \sum_i \phi_{1i} \otimes \phi_{2i},$$

for some $\phi_{1i} \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\phi_{2i} \in \mathcal{A}_2$. We note that

$$(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)(\phi) - (m_1 \otimes m_2)(\phi) = \sum_i \big(\eta_1(\phi_{1i})\eta_2(\phi_{2i}) - m_1(\phi_{1i})m_2(\phi_{2i})\big).$$

Each term in this sum can be written as

$$(\eta_1(\phi_{1i}) - m_1(\phi_{1i}))\eta_2(\phi_{2i}) + m_1(\phi_{1i})(\eta_2(\phi_{2i}) - m_2(\phi_{2i})),$$

and thus its absolute value can be estimated from above by

$$\mathrm{dist}_{N_1}(\eta_1, m_1) N_1(\phi_{1i})\,\|\phi_{2i}\|_\infty + \|\phi_{1i}\|_\infty\,\mathrm{dist}_{N_2}(\eta_2, m_2) N_2(\phi_{2i}).$$

Since N_1 and N_2 are uniform norms, we conclude that

$$|(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)(\phi) - (m_1 \otimes m_2)(\phi)| \ll \max(\mathrm{dist}_{N_1}(\eta_1, m_1), \mathrm{dist}_{N_2}(\eta_2, m_2)) \sum_i N_1(\phi_{1i}) N_2(\phi_{2i}),$$

where the implied constant depends only on N_1 and N_2. Hence,

$$|(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)(\phi) - (m_1 \otimes m_2)(\phi)| \ll \max(\mathrm{dist}_{N_1}(\eta_1, m_1), \mathrm{dist}_{N_2}(\eta_2, m_2))(N_1 \otimes N_2)(\phi).$$

Since ϕ is arbitrary, this implies the lemma. □

We leave the proof of the following corollary to the reader.

Corollary 7.11. *For all Borel probability measures η_1 and m_1 on X_1 and η_2 and m_2 on X_2, we have*

$$\mathrm{dist}_{N_1 \otimes N_2}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2, m_1 \otimes m_2) \ll \max(\mathrm{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1)^{1/2}, \mathrm{dist}_{M_2}(\eta_2, m_2)^{1/2}),$$

where the implied constant depends on the norms M_1, N_1, M_2 and N_2.

7.6. **Completion of the proof of Proposition 7.2**

Combining the bounds on Term I, Term II and Term III, which Lemma 7.3, Lemma 7.4 and Corollary 7.11 respectively provide, we deduce that for all $T \in [w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}]$,

$$\mathrm{dist}_{N_1 \otimes N_2}(\eta, m_1 \otimes m_2) \ll \max(w_2 T, |V(T)|^{a/2} \mathrm{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1)^{1/2}, (w_1 T)^{-b/2}, \mathrm{dist}_{M_2}(\eta_2, m_2)^{1/2}),$$

where the implied constant depends only on the constants A, B, C and on the norms M_1, N_1, M_2 and N_2. If we set

$$\mathcal{M} = \max(\mathrm{dist}_{M_1}(\eta_1, m_1), \mathrm{dist}_{M_2}(\eta_2, m_2)),$$

then we can merge the dist_{M_i}-terms above (provided that $T \geqslant 1$) into $\mathcal{M}$, and thus

$$\mathrm{dist}_{N_1 \otimes N_2}(\eta, m_1 \otimes m_2) \ll \max(w_2 T, |V(T)|^{a/2} \sqrt{\mathcal{M}}, (w_1 T)^{-b/2}),$$

for all $T \in [w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}]$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 7.2.

REFERENCES

1. M. Björklund, M. Einsiedler, A. Gorodnik, *Multiple mixing and counting rational points*. In preparation.
2. M. Björklund and A. Gorodnik, *Probabilistic limit theorems for non-commutative group actions.* In preparation.
3. A. Borel and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs.* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 27 (1965), 55–150.
4. A. Borel and N. Wallach, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups.* Annals of Mathematics Studies, 94. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1980.
5. L. Clozel, H. Oh, E. Ullmo, *Hecke operators and equidistribution of Hecke points.* Invent. Math. 144 (2001), no. 2, 327–351.
6. M. Cowling, *Sur les coefficients des représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie simples*, Analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie (Sém., Nancy–Strasbourg 1976–1978), II, Springer, Berlin (1979), 132–178.
7. S. G. Dani, *Kolmogorov automorphisms on homogeneous spaces.* Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), no. 1, 119–163.
8. S. G. Dani, *Spectrum of an affine transformation.* Duke Math. J. 44 (1977), no. 1, 129–155.
9. M. Einsiedler, G. Margulis, A. Venkatesh, *Effective equidistribution for closed orbits of semisimple groups on homogeneous spaces.* Invent. Math. 177 (2009), no. 1, 137–212.
10. M. Einsiedler, G. Margulis, A. Mohammadi, A. Venkatesh, *Effective equidistribution and property tau.* ArXiv:1503.05884.
11. H. Furstenberg, *Ergodic behavior of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi on arithmetic progressions.* J. Analyse Math. 31 (1977), 204–256.
12. H. Furstenberg, Y. Katznelson, B. Weiss, *Ergodic theory and configurations in sets of positive density.* Mathematics of Ramsey theory, 184–198, Algorithms Combin., 5, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
13. A. Gorodnik, F. Maucourant, H. Oh, *Manin's and Peyre's conjectures on rational points and adelic mixing.* Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 41 (2008), no. 3, 383–435.

14. A. Gorodnik, R. Takloo-Bighash, Y. Tschinkel, *Multiple mixing for adele groups and rational points.* Eur. J. Math. 1 (2015), no. 3, 441–461.
15. S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics 34, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
16. R. Howe, *On a notion of rank for unitary representations of the classical groups.* Harmonic analysis and group representations, 223–331, Liguori, Naples, 1982.
17. R. Howe and C. Moore, *Asymptotic properties of unitary representations.* J. Funct. Anal. 32 (1979), no. 1, 72–96.
18. A. Katok and R. Spatzier, *First cohomology of Anosov actions of higher rank abelian groups and applications to rigidity.* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 79 (1994), 131–156.
19. F. Ledrappier, *Un champ markovien peut être d'entropie nulle et mélangeant*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B, 287 (1978), A561–A563.
20. J.-S. Li, *The minimal decay of matrix coefficients for classical groups.* Harmonic analysis in China, 146–169, Math. Appl., 327, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
21. J.-S. Li and C.-B. Zhu, *On the decay of matrix coefficients for exceptional groups.* Math. Ann. 305 (1996), no. 2, 249–270.
22. D. Kelmer and P. Sarnak, *Strong spectral gaps for compact quotients of products of PSL(2,R).* J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 11 (2009), no. 2, 283–313.
23. D. Kleinbock and G. Margulis, *Logarithm laws for flows on homogeneous spaces.* Invent. Math. 138 (1999), no. 3, 451–494.
24. I. Konstantoulas, *Effective decay of multiple correlations in semidirect product actions.* J. Mod. Dyn. 10 (2016), 81–111.
25. I. G. Macdonald, *Spherical functions on a group of p-adic type.* Publications of the Ramanujan Institute, No. 2. Ramanujan Institute, Centre for Advanced Study in Mathematics,University of Madras, Madras, 1971.
26. B. Marcus, *The horocycle flow is mixing of all degrees.* Invent. Math. 46 (1978), no. 3, 201–209.
27. C. Moore, *Exponential decay of correlation coefficients for geodesic flows.* Group representations, ergodic theory, operator algebras, and mathematical physics (Berkeley, Calif., 1984), 163–181, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 6, Springer, New York, 1987.
28. S. Mozes, *Mixing of all orders of Lie groups actions.* Invent. Math. 107 (1992), no. 2, 235–241; erratum: Invent. Math. 119 (1995), no. 2, 399.
29. A. Nevo, *Spectral transfer and pointwise ergodic theorems for semi-simple Kazhdan groups.* Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998), no. 3, 305–325.
30. H. Oh, *Tempered subgroups and representations with minimal decay of matrix coefficients.* Bull. Soc. Math. France 126 (1998), no. 3, 355–380.
31. H. Oh, *Uniform pointwise bounds for matrix coefficients of unitary representations and applications to Kazhdan constants.* Duke Math. J. 113 (2002), no. 1, 133–192.
32. *Lie groups and Lie algebras, III. Structure of Lie groups and Lie algebras.* Edited by A. L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 41. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
33. O. S. Parasyuk, *Flows of horocycles on surfaces of constant negative curvature.* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 8, no. 3(55) (1953), 125–126.
34. V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 139. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
35. M.S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 68. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
36. J. Shalika, R. Takloo-Bighash, Y. Tschinkel, *Rational points on compactifications of semi-simple groups.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (2007), no. 4, 1135–1186.
37. Ya. Sinai, *Probabilistic concepts in ergodic theory.* 1963 Proc. Internat. Congr. Mathematicians (Stockholm, 1962) pp. 540–559.
38. A. Starkov, *Multiple mixing of homogeneous flows.* Dokl. Akad. Nauk 333 (1993), no. 4, 442–445; translation in Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math. 48 (1994), no. 3, 573–578.
39. J. Tits, *Reductive groups over local fields.* Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, pp. 29–69, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
40. G. Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups. I.* Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 188. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

41. G. Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups. II.* Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 189. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
42. T. Ziegler, *Nilfactors of Rm-actions and configurations in sets of positive upper density in* $\mathbb{R}^m$. J. Anal. Math. 99 (2006), 249–266.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHALMERS, GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN
E-mail address: micbjo@chalmers.se

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH, ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: manfred.einsiedler@math.ethz.ch

UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, BRISTOL, UK
E-mail address: a.gorodnik@bristol.ac.uk